

# Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Report

# 36-Month Referral-Based Recidivism

JJIS Steering Committee

JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System





Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





# Juvenile Justice Information System Steering Committee

Mission & Vision & Goals & Values

#### **JJIS Mission**

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

JJIS Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
<ul> <li>Provides a comprehensive view of information about youth across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;</li> <li>Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about youth in their agency; and</li> <li>Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.</li> </ul>
Provides comprehensive support for managing individual youth cases and tracking youth through the justice process.	<ul> <li>♦ Support the assessment of risks and needs of youth;</li> <li>♦ Support individual case plans;</li> <li>♦ Track youth through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and</li> <li>♦ Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.</li> </ul>
Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce youth crime.	<ul> <li>Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing youth crime;</li> <li>Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination;</li> <li>Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and</li> <li>Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.</li> </ul>
Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	<ul> <li>Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS;</li> <li>Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;</li> <li>Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital;</li> <li>Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements;</li> <li>Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS;</li> <li>Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently;</li> <li>Ensure consistent data integrity;</li> <li>Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;</li> <li>Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA's Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and</li> <li>Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.</li> </ul>

## JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA and OJDDA serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- Represents the best interests of Oregon's juvenile justice system as a whole;
- Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- ♦ Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.

# JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee is the governance body providing guidance to oversight of JJIS activities. The committee represents Oregon's 36 counties, the Oregon Youth Authority, and other statewide partners.

Oversight responsibilities include monitoring appropriate use of JJIS and its data, prioritizing development of software features, making policy decisions, and authorizing access to statewide data for research and program evaluation.

The committee meets monthly to ensure that JJIS is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of the JJIS partnership.

#### Members (2023)

Christina McMahan, Director

Clackamas County Juvenile Department JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair

Rex Emery, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Business Services
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair

Joe Ferguson, Director
Jackson County Juvenile Department

Molly Rogers, Director

Wasco County Juvenile Department

**Ray Cameron**, Director Washington County Juvenile Department

Matt Wetherell, Director
Benton County Juvenile Department

Sandra Santos, Assistant Director Oregon Youth Authority Community Services

Jana McLellan, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Services

External partners and other interested parties frequently attend meetings and participate in discussions, but do not have voting rights on committee recommendations.

#### **OYA Staff**

**Steven Hoffert**, Chief Information Officer Oregon Youth Authority Information Services

Sachin Prajapati, Manager


JJIS Development & Reports, OYA Information Services

**Douglas Thomas**, Manager

JJIS Policy & Implementation, OYA Information Services

#### JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee

The JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee is a standing committee of the JJIS Steering Committee, contributing to local and statewide research initiatives, program and system evaluations, and policy recommendations regarding the use of data. The subcommittee supports the juvenile justice system by ensuring consistency, accuracy and appropriateness of the data, guiding the development of routine and annual reports and statistics, and interpreting relevant data analyses



# Introduction

Oregon's juvenile justice community is committed to providing effective and efficient services to promote public safety, ensuring justice for victims, and preventing youth from repeating criminal behavior. Understanding the recidivism rate for youth referred to the juvenile justice system is one way to measure juvenile crime and the impact of intervention efforts around the state.

#### Definition of recidivism for this report:

"As a measure of public safety, recidivism is defined as a new criminal referral.

A criminal referral is a law enforcement report to a juvenile department alleging one or more felony or misdemeanor acts (offenses)."

# Contents of this report

This report contains statewide and county-specific measures of recidivism for 2020 based on the measurement of criminal activity as a gauge of community safety. The report selects youth who had a criminal referral in 2020 and tracks them for the following 36 months. To track all youth who had a referral in 2020 for a full 36-month period, the report requires 2022 referral data to be complete.

All data for this report comes from Oregon's Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS). There are no outside data sources used and includes only criminal referrals from the State of Oregon.

### Findings and Limitations

#### **Summary Findings:**

- Total statewide youth in the 2020 cohort are 4,642.
- The overall 36-month statewide recidivism rate is 34.0%.
  - o The 36-month statewide chronic recidivism rate (3 or more subsequent referrals) is 10.2%.

#### Limitations of a 36-Month Tracking Period:

In Oregon, criminal offenses after the age of 18 are processed by the adult system, not by local county juvenile departments. As a result, youth who are age 15, 16, or 17 at the beginning of the tracking period will not have a full 36-months of juvenile referral data available to evaluate.

For example,

- Youth who are 15 can be tracked for at least 24 months but will reach the age of 18 prior to a full 36 months of tracking in JJIS.
- Youth who are 16 can be tracked for at least 12 months but will reach the age of 18 prior to a full 36 months of tracking in JJIS.
- Youth who are 17 will reach the age of 18 during the first year of the tracking period, so will have less than 12 months of tracking in JJIS.

Because of the shortened tracking periods for 15, 16, and 17-year-olds described above, the rates reflected in this report underestimate recidivism.

#### Notes about the information

**Counts**: Data is grouped by the county where the referral originated. The report counts the total number of youth who had a criminal referral originating in that county in the reporting year. The report sorts the results into two primary groups:

- **No Subsequent Referrals** youth who had no subsequent referrals during the following 36 months.
- **Subsequent Referrals** youth who had one or more subsequent referrals during the following 36 months. This group is further divided into two groups:
  - Youth with 1-2 Subsequent Referrals.
  - Youth with 3 or more Subsequent Referrals.

**Percentages**: Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number and therefore may not add up to 100%.

**Demographics:** The JJIS Steering Committee recognizes that reporting race, ethnicity, sex, and gender in JJIS may not accurately represent everyone, due in part to a need to comply with federal reporting requirements. We respect all individuals, but also acknowledge and regret we cannot capture how individuals may self-identify in these areas.

- Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on available data. Race is recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of race.
- **Sex**: The options for reporting sex are Male, Female, Non-Binary, and Unknown. There are current state and national discussions about new ways to document sex that are respectful and inclusive of all. The JJIS Steering Committee will continue to engage in those discussions and make updates in this area as available.

Readers are cautioned against making comparisons between counties. Many factors can influence recidivism rates including the size of the county (small numbers can vary significantly), local laws and practices, and local law enforcement resources.

March 2024

2020 36-Mont Juvenile Reci		N	O	Cuber	vuont			Subsequer	t Referrals	
by County — All Youth	MIVIOIII	Subsec Refer		Subsec Refer	-		1 to	2	3 or N (chro	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	1	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	44	24	54.5%	20	45.5%		13	29.5%	7	15.9%
Benton	59	36	61.0%	23	39.0%		19	32.2%	4	6.8%
Clackamas	351	254	72.4%	97	27.6%		74	21.1%	23	6.6%
Clatsop	88	62	70.5%	26	29.5%		16	18.2%	10	11.4%
Columbia	54	41	75.9%	13	24.1%		11	20.4%	2	3.7%
Coos	66	48	72.7%	18	27.3%		17	25.8%	1	1.5%
Crook	47	34	72.3%	13	27.7%		10	21.3%	3	6.4%
Curry	21	14	66.7%	7	33.3%		3	14.3%	4	19.0%
Deschutes	239	155	64.9%	84	35.1%		53	22.2%	31	13.0%
Douglas	171	115	67.3%	56	32.7%		42	24.6%	14	8.2%
Gilliam	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%		0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Grant	11	10	90.9%	1	9.1%		1	9.1%	0	0.0%
Harney	13	7	53.8%	6	46.2%		4	30.8%	2	15.4%
Hood River	26	17	65.4%	9	34.6%		6	23.1%	3	11.5%
Jackson	277	192	69.3%	85	30.7%		68	24.5%	17	6.1%
Jefferson	36	29	80.6%	7	19.4%		5	13.9%	2	5.6%
Josephine	76	47	61.8%	29	38.2%		21	27.6%	8	10.5%
Klamath	106	67	63.2%	39	36.8%		29	27.4%	10	9.4%
Lake	17	9	52.9%	8	47.1%		7	41.2%	1	5.9%
Lane	332	203	61.1%	129	38.9%		78	23.5%	51	15.4%
Lincoln	50	41	82.0%	9	18.0%		6	12.0%	3	6.0%
Linn	178	117	65.7%	61	34.3%		45	25.3%	16	9.0%
Malheur	91	67	73.6%	24	26.4%		16	17.6%	8	8.8%
Marion	504	322	63.9%	182	36.1%		125	24.8%	57	11.3%
Morrow	30	21	70.0%	9	30.0%		7	23.3%	2	6.7%
Multnomah	568	343	60.4%	225	39.6%		139	24.5%	86	15.1%
Out of State	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	126	83	65.9%	43	34.1%		35	27.8%	8	6.3%
Sherman	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%		1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	44	27	61.4%	17	38.6%		8	18.2%	9	20.5%
Umatilla	135	78	57.8%	57	42.2%		39	28.9%	18	13.3%
Union	56	29	51.8%	27	48.2%		13	23.2%	14	25.0%
Wallowa	6	4	66.7%	2	33.3%		2	33.3%	0	0.0%
Wasco	48	27	56.3%	21	43.8%		18	37.5%	3	6.3%
Washington	614	425	69.2%	189	30.8%		139	22.6%	50	8.1%
Yamhill	151	112	74.2%	39	25.8%		32	21.2%	7	4.6%
Total	4,642	3,065	66.0%	1,577	34.0%	1 1	1,102	23.7%	475	10.2%

2020 36-Mont		N	0	6.1			Subsequer	nt Referrals	
by County — African Amer		Subse Refe		Subse Refe		1 to	0 2	3 or N (chro	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Benton	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Clackamas	33	17	51.5%	16	48.5%	13	39.4%	3	9.1%
Clatsop	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Deschutes	6	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
Douglas	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	11	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Josephine	3	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
Klamath	3	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
Lane	28	12	42.9%	16	57.1%	8	28.6%	8	28.6%
Lincoln	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Linn	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Malheur	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%
Marion	18	11	61.1%	7	38.9%	4	22.2%	3	16.7%
Multnomah	224	116	51.8%	108	48.2%	60	26.8%	48	21.4%
Polk	6	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%
Umatilla	3	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	63	41	65.1%	22	34.9%	16	25.4%	6	9.5%
Yamhill	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Total	421	225	53.4%	196	46.6%	120	28.5%	76	18.1%

2020 36-Mont	<del></del>	No						Subseque	nt Referrals	
Juvenile Reci by County — Asian	aivism	Subseque Referral		Subsec Refer				1 to 2		Iore nic)
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%		Youth	%	Youth	%
Clackamas	8	5 62	2.5%	3	37.5%		3	37.5%	0	0.09
Clatsop	1	1 100	0.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.09
Crook	1	1 100	0.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.09
Deschutes	5	3 60	0.0%	2	40.0%		1	20.0%	1	20.09
Jackson	6	3 50	0.0%	3	50.0%		2	33.3%	1	16.7%
Josephine	1	1 100	0.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Klamath	1	0 (	0.0%	1	100.0%		1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	2	1 50	0.0%	1	50.0%		0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Lincoln	2	1 50	0.0%	1	50.0%		1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Linn	1	1 100	0.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	16	10 62	2.5%	6	37.5%		5	31.3%	1	6.3%
Multnomah	35	20 5	7.1%	15	42.9%		9	25.7%	6	17.19
Polk	1	1 100	0.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	28	20 7	1.4%	8	28.6%		4	14.3%	4	14.3%
Yamhill	4	3 7:	5.0%	1	25.0%		1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Total	112	71 63	3.4%	41	36.6%		27	24.1%	14	12.5%

2020 36-Mont Juvenile Rec		N	0	S. harri	4			Subsequer	nt Referrals	
by County — Hispanic	idivisiii	Subse Refe		Subsec Refer			1 to	2	3 or M (chro	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%		Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%		2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	14	9	64.3%	5	35.7%		4	28.6%	1	7.1%
Clackamas	57	42	73.7%	15	26.3%		11	19.3%	4	7.0%
Clatsop	8	6	75.0%	2	25.0%		1	12.5%	1	12.5%
Columbia	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%		0	0.0%	1	20.0%
Coos	7	5	71.4%	2	28.6%		2	28.6%	0	0.0%
Crook	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%		1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Deschutes	28	17	60.7%	11	39.3%		7	25.0%	4	14.3%
Douglas	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%		2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%		2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	56	39	69.6%	17	30.4%		13	23.2%	4	7.1%
Jefferson	14	10	71.4%	4	28.6%		3	21.4%	1	7.1%
Josephine	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%		2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Klamath	12	9	75.0%	3	25.0%		3	25.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	24	14	58.3%	10	41.7%		6	25.0%	4	16.7%
Lincoln	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Linn	14	6	42.9%	8	57.1%		6	42.9%	2	14.3%
Malheur	40	28	70.0%	12	30.0%		9	22.5%	3	7.5%
Marion	196	122	62.2%	74	37.8%		52	26.5%	22	11.2%
Morrow	8	7	87.5%	1	12.5%		1	12.5%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	92	61	66.3%	31	33.7%		17	18.5%	14	15.2%
Polk	20	10	50.0%	10	50.0%		9	45.0%	1	5.0%
Tillamook	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%		1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Umatilla	44	26	59.1%	18	40.9%		11	25.0%	7	15.9%
Union	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%		0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Wallowa	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	5	3	60.0%	2	40.0%		2	40.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	214	151	70.6%	63	29.4%		47	22.0%	16	7.5%
Yamhill	21	15	71.4%	6	28.6%		5	23.8%	1	4.8%
Total	907	601	66.3%	306	33.7%	<u> </u>	219	24.1%	87	9.6%

2020 36-Mont Juvenile Rec		No	Subsequent	Subsequent	Referrals
by County — Native Ameri		Subsequent Referrals	Subsequent Referrals	1 to 2	3 or More (chronic)
County	Youth	Youth %	Youth %	Youth %	Youth %
Baker	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Benton	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Clackamas	4	1 25.0%	3 75.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%
Columbia	3	2 66.7%	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%
Crook	1	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%
Curry	4	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%
Deschutes	4	2 50.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%
Douglas	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Grant	2	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Harney	2	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	1 50.0%	1 50.0%
Jackson	9	5 55.6%	4 44.4%	4 44.4%	0 0.0%
Jefferson	7	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%
Josephine	1	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%
Klamath	17	10 58.8%	7 41.2%	6 35.3%	1 5.9%
Lake	1	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%
Lane	13	7 53.8%	6 46.2%	3 23.1%	3 23.1%
Lincoln	2	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%
Linn	5	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%
Marion	19	12 63.2%	7 36.8%	6 31.6%	1 5.3%
Multnomah	19	12 63.2%	7 36.8%	3 15.8%	4 21.1%
Out of State	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Polk	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Umatilla	12	7 58.3%	5 41.7%	5 41.7%	0 0.0%
Union	1	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%
Wasco	5	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%
Washington	5	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%
Yamhill	4	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%
Total	145	87 60.0%	58 40.0%	42 29.0%	16 11.0%

2020 36-Mont Juvenile Reci		N	0	Subsec	unent		Subsequen	t Referrals	
by County — White	uivi3iii	Subsec Refer	•	Refer	-	1 to	2	3 or N (chro	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	36	19	52.8%	17	47.2%	10	27.8%	7	19.4%
Benton	40	24	60.0%	16	40.0%	14	35.0%	2	5.0%
Clackamas	238	179	75.2%	59	24.8%	45	18.9%	14	5.9%
Clatsop	75	51	68.0%	24	32.0%	15	20.0%	9	12.0%
Columbia	40	29	72.5%	11	27.5%	10	25.0%	1	2.5%
Coos	54	39	72.2%	15	27.8%	14	25.9%	1	1.9%
Crook	17	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%
Curry	13	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	4	30.8%
Deschutes	189	124	65.6%	65	34.4%	41	21.7%	24	12.7%
Douglas	126	85	67.5%	41	32.5%	29	23.0%	12	9.5%
Gilliam	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grant	9	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%
Harney	9	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%
Hood River	21	14	66.7%	7	33.3%	4	19.0%	3	14.3%
Jackson	189	132	69.8%	57	30.2%	45	23.8%	12	6.3%
Jefferson	14	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%
Josephine	62	38	61.3%	24	38.7%	17	27.4%	7	11.3%
Klamath	68	42	61.8%	26	38.2%	17	25.0%	9	13.2%
Lake	13	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%
Lane	255	161	63.1%	94	36.9%	59	23.1%	35	13.7%
Lincoln	35	29	82.9%	6	17.1%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%
Linn	83	52	62.7%	31	37.3%	21	25.3%	10	12.0%
Malheur	35	24	68.6%	11	31.4%	7	20.0%	4	11.4%
Marion	241	155	64.3%	86	35.7%	56	23.2%	30	12.4%
Morrow	19	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%
Multnomah	190	126	66.3%	64	33.7%	50	26.3%	14	7.4%
Out of State	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	40	23	57.5%	17	42.5%	13	32.5%	4	10.0%
Sherman	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	22	10	45.5%	12	54.5%	6	27.3%	6	27.3%
Umatilla	71	41	57.7%	30	42.3%	19	26.8%	11	15.5%
Union	45	22	48.9%	23	51.1%	11	24.4%	12	26.7%
Wallowa	3	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
Wasco	35	21	60.0%	14	40.0%	12	34.3%	2	5.7%
Washington	274	185	67.5%	89	32.5%	68	24.8%	21	7.7%
Yamhill	63	39	61.9%	24	38.1%	21	33.3%	3	4.8%
Total	2,629	1,731	65.8%	898	34.2%	 633	24.1%	265	10.1%

2020 36-Mont Juvenile Rec		N	0	Subse	quent		Subsequent	Referrals	
by County — Other / Unknown		Subse Refe	-	Refe		1 to	2	3 or I (chro	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Benton	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Clackamas	11	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%
Clatsop	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Columbia	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coos	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Crook	26	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%
Curry	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Deschutes	7	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%
Douglas	39	27	69.2%	12	30.8%	10	25.6%	2	5.1%
Gilliam	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Harney	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Josephine	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Klamath	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	10	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Linn	72	51	70.8%	21	29.2%	17	23.6%	4	5.6%
Malheur	11	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	14	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%
Morrow	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	8	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	58	47	81.0%	11	19.0%	10	17.2%	1	1.7%
Tillamook	19	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%
Umatilla	5	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%
Union	8	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
Wallowa	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	30	25	83.3%	5	16.7%	2	6.7%	3	10.0%
Yamhill	57	52	91.2%	5	8.8%	5	8.8%	0	0.0%
Total	428	350	81.8%	78	18.2%	61	14.3%	17	4.0%

2020 36-Mont Juvenile Reci		N	0	Subsec	ont			Subsequer	t Referrals	
by County — Males	uivisiii	Subsec Refer	-	Refer	_		1 to	2	3 or M (chro	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%		Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	35	18	51.4%	17	48.6%		11	31.4%	6	17.1%
Benton	42	27	64.3%	15	35.7%		13	31.0%	2	4.8%
Clackamas	265	185	69.8%	80	30.2%		59	22.3%	21	7.9%
Clatsop	69	47	68.1%	22	31.9%		13	18.8%	9	13.0%
Columbia	35	30	85.7%	5	14.3%		3	8.6%	2	5.7%
Coos	50	35	70.0%	15	30.0%		14	28.0%	1	2.0%
Crook	32	24	75.0%	8	25.0%		7	21.9%	1	3.1%
Curry	13	8	61.5%	5	38.5%		2	15.4%	3	23.1%
Deschutes	174	109	62.6%	65	37.4%		41	23.6%	24	13.8%
Douglas	121	76	62.8%	45	37.2%		35	28.9%	10	8.3%
Gilliam	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%		0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Grant	8	7	87.5%	1	12.5%		1	12.5%	0	0.0%
Harney	8	3	37.5%	5	62.5%		3	37.5%	2	25.0%
Hood River	20	13	65.0%	7	35.0%		6	30.0%	1	5.0%
Jackson	182	118	64.8%	64	35.2%		48	26.4%	16	8.8%
Jefferson	22	16	72.7%	6	27.3%		4	18.2%	2	9.1%
Josephine	56	33	58.9%	23	41.1%		16	28.6%	7	12.5%
Klamath	85	51	60.0%	34	40.0%		26	30.6%	8	9.4%
Lake	16	8	50.0%	8	50.0%		7	43.8%	1	6.3%
Lane	236	138	58.5%	98	41.5%		60	25.4%	38	16.1%
Lincoln	39	31	79.5%	8	20.5%		5	12.8%	3	7.7%
Linn	121	73	60.3%	48	39.7%		36	29.8%	12	9.9%
Malheur	62	47	75.8%	15	24.2%		11	17.7%	4	6.5%
Marion	353	210	59.5%	143	40.5%		97	27.5%	46	13.0%
Morrow	21	14	66.7%	7	33.3%		6	28.6%	1	4.8%
Multnomah	415	235	56.6%	180	43.4%		103	24.8%	77	18.6%
Out of State	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	88	54	61.4%	34	38.6%		28	31.8%	6	6.8%
Sherman	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%		1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	35	21	60.0%	14	40.0%		7	20.0%	7	20.0%
Umatilla	92	46	50.0%	46	50.0%		30	32.6%	16	17.4%
Union	41	20	48.8%	21	51.2%		7	17.1%	14	34.1%
Wallowa	5	3	60.0%	2	40.0%		2	40.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	33	17	51.5%	16	48.5%		15	45.5%	1	3.0%
Washington	452	303	67.0%	149	33.0%		113	25.0%	36	8.0%
Yamhill	120	87	72.5%	33	27.5%		27	22.5%	6	5.0%
Total	3,353	2,112	63.0%	1,241	37.0%	,	857	25.6%	384	11.5%

2020 36-Mon		N	0	Subsec	×4		Subsequen	t Referrals	
by County — Females		Subse Refe	-	Refer		1 to	2	3 or N (chro	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	9	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%
Benton	16	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	6	37.5%	2	12.5%
Clackamas	85	68	80.0%	17	20.0%	15	17.6%	2	2.4%
Clatsop	17	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%
Columbia	18	10	55.6%	8	44.4%	8	44.4%	0	0.0%
Coos	16	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%
Crook	15	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%
Curry	8	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
Deschutes	63	44	69.8%	19	30.2%	12	19.0%	7	11.1%
Douglas	50	39	78.0%	11	22.0%	7	14.0%	4	8.0%
Grant	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harney	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	6	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%
Jackson	95	74	77.9%	21	22.1%	20	21.1%	1	1.1%
Jefferson	14	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%
Josephine	20	14	70.0%	6	30.0%	5	25.0%	1	5.0%
Klamath	20	15	75.0%	5	25.0%	3	15.0%	2	10.0%
Lake	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	95	65	68.4%	30	31.6%	17	17.9%	13	13.7%
Lincoln	11	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%
Linn	57	44	77.2%	13	22.8%	9	15.8%	4	7.0%
Malheur	28	19	67.9%	9	32.1%	5	17.9%	4	14.3%
Marion	151	112	74.2%	39	25.8%	28	18.5%	11	7.3%
Morrow	9	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%
Multnomah	152	107	70.4%	45	29.6%	36	23.7%	9	5.9%
Polk	36	27	75.0%	9	25.0%	7	19.4%	2	5.6%
Tillamook	9	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%
Umatilla	43	32	74.4%	11	25.6%	9	20.9%	2	4.7%
Union	15	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	6	40.0%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	15	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%
Washington	162	122	75.3%	40	24.7%	26	16.0%	14	8.6%
Yamhill	31	25	80.6%	6	19.4%	5	16.1%	1	3.2%
Total	1,276	942	73.8%	334	26.2%	243	19.0%	91	7.1%

2020 36-Mon Juvenile Red by County — Non-Binary	idivism	No Subsequent Referrals	Subsequent Referrals	Subsequer	3 or More (chronic)
County	Youth	Youth %	Youth %	Youth %	Youth %
Clatsop	2	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%
Deschutes	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	3	2 66.7%	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%

2020 36-Mor Juvenile Red by County — Unknown Se	cidivism -	No Subsequent Referrals	Subsequent Referrals	Subsequer	3 or More (chronic)
County	Youth	Youth %	Youth %	Youth %	Youth %
Benton	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Polk	1	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	2	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%