

Introduction

About surveillance data

Oregon law specifies diseases of public health importance that must be reported to local public health authorities by [diagnostic laboratories](#) and [health care professionals](#). This report reflects [reporting laws](#) in effect for 2016. In general, local public health officials investigate reports of a communicable disease to characterize the illness and collect demographic information about the case, to identify possible sources of the infection, and to take steps to prevent further transmission. Basic information about each case is entered into a centralized database. In some cases (e.g., *Salmonella* infection), laboratories are required to forward bacterial isolates to the Oregon State Public Health Laboratory for subtyping. Together, these epidemiologic and laboratory data constitute our communicable disease surveillance system. Data from 2016 and trends from recent years are summarized in this report.

However, reportable disease data have many limitations. First, for most diseases, reported cases represent but a fraction of the true number. The most important reason for this is that many patients — especially those with mild disease — do not present themselves for medical care. Even if they do, the health care professional may not order a test to identify the causative microorganism. The reader may be scandalized to learn that not every reportable disease gets reported as the law requires. Cases are “lost” to surveillance along each step of the path from patient to physician to laboratory to public health department. In the case of salmonellosis, for example, reported cases are estimated to account for approximately 3% of the true number.

Second, cases that do get reported are a skewed sample of the total. More severe illnesses (e.g., meningococcal disease) are more likely to be reported than milder illnesses. Infection with hepatitis A virus is more likely to cause symptoms (and those symptoms are more likely to be severe) in adults than in children. Testing is not random. Clinicians are more likely to test stool from children with bloody diarrhea for *E. coli* O157 than to test stool from adults with bloody diarrhea. Health care professionals may be more inclined to report contagious diseases such as measles — where the public health importance of doing so is obvious — than to report non-contagious diseases such as Lyme disease. Outbreaks of disease or media coverage about a particular disease can greatly increase testing and reporting rates. Despite their limitations,


reportable disease data remain valuable in a variety of ways. They help identify demographic groups at higher risk of illness. They allow analysis of disease trends and identify outbreaks of disease.

Cases are assigned to the county of residence at the time of the report — not to the county in which the case received medical care, or the county where the exposure to infection occurred. Incidence is annualized by onset date unless otherwise indicated. For chronic hepatitis, report to the local health authority is used for counting purposes. Case counts include both confirmed and presumptive cases. For additional information on case definitions, see the [Oregon Investigative Guidelines](#) available online.

Population estimates for crude rate calculations were obtained from the Population Research Center at Portland State University (<http://www.pdx.edu/prc>). Using rates instead of case counts allows for comparisons between populations of different sizes — e.g., United States versus Oregon. Rates are usually reported as cases per 100,000 persons per year. However, if the population in which the rate is calculated is very small (e.g., in Oregon “frontier” counties), a case or two might mean the difference between a rate of zero and a very high rate. To compensate for this, some of our maps and rates by age show an average rate over multiple years of data. Even with multi-year aggregation, for some conditions the case counts remain small.

With all this in mind, we present the 2016 Oregon reportable communicable disease summary. We present 29 years of case counts whenever possible. For most diseases, you will find case counts by year, aggregate case counts by month to demonstrate any seasonal trends, incidence by age and sex, incidence in Oregon compared to national incidence over the past 15 years, and incidence by county. When appropriate, additional data on subtypes or risk factors for infection are included. At the end of this report is a tally of disease outbreaks investigated during 2016, a summary of enhanced data on gastroenteritis outbreaks, a summary table of statewide case counts over the past 20 years, counts of lower-incidence conditions, and disease totals by county.

We hope that you will find these data useful. If you have additional questions, please call our epidemiology staff at 971-673-1111 or email ohd.acdp@state.or.us.



Paul R. Cieslak, MD
Medical Director, Communicable Diseases and Immunizations