



Work Zone Safety and Mobility Review Guide

ODOT Certification Compliance and Oversight Plan (C&O)

CERTIFIED LOCAL PUBLIC AGENCY	DATE OF REVIEW	FEDERAL PROJECT # KEY #
PROJECT NAME		<input type="checkbox"/> LOCAL NON-NHS <input type="checkbox"/> LOCAL NHS <input type="checkbox"/> STATE HIGHWAY
PROJECT DESCRIPTION		
INDIVIDUAL (S) CONDUCTING REVIEW		CERTIFICATION PROGRAM MANAGER REVIEW NAME: DATE:

ADDITIONAL CAP REVIEW GUIDE(S) USED FOR THIS REVIEW (LIST ALL)

Instructions

1. Complete all questions in the C&O Review Guide. Do not modify questions. Additional Division Specific questions may be added at the bottom of the guide.
2. Provide comments for each NO, N/A, or Don't Know answer.
3. Directly verify all answers with source documentation.
4. Ensure source documentation is retained by the Local Public Agency (LPA) and available as necessary for quality assurance reviews or audits.

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
WZ1	Did the contractor adopt the LPA-developed traffic control plan in writing or did the contractor develop and submit its own traffic control plan for approval? If the contractor developed its own traffic control plan, was it approved by	23 CFR 630.1108 Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction, Section 00225.05	The contractor adopted the LPA developed traffic control plan in writing. The contractor submitted its own traffic control plan and it was approved by the LPA's project manager. The contractor submitted its own traffic control plan and it was	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
	the LPA's project manager?		not approved by the LPA's project manager. (Comment required) N/A , requirement does not apply (Comment required) Don't Know , could not be verified at the time of review (Comment required)	
WZ2	If this project is a <u>Significant</u> project (\$5M or more construction budget), did the Transportation Management Plan (TMP) include a Transportation Operations (TO) strategies, and Public Information (PI) strategies along with the Traffic Control Plan (TCP)?	23 CFR 630.1010	Yes , this is a Significant project and the TMP included a TTC Plan, TO strategies, and PI strategies. No , this is a significant project but the TMP did not include TO strategies, or PI strategies. (Explanation required) No . This is not a Significant project, but TO strategies and PI campaigns were still developed (Comment required). N/A , this was not a significant project. (Comment required) Don't Know , could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
WZ3	Were positive protection devices considered as part of the project development process? Were these devices included in the TCP?	23 CFR 630.1108(a) ODOT Traffic Control Design Manual Oregon Standard Specifications	Yes , positive protection devices were considered during project development and were included in the TCP. Yes , positive protection devices were considered during	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
		for Construction, Section 00225	project development, but were not included in the TCP. (Comment required) No , positive protection devices were not considered during project development and were not included in the TCP. (Explanation required) N/A , requirement does not apply. (Comment required). Don't Know , could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
WZ4	Were individual Pay Items included in the contract for major categories of traffic control devices used to provide a safe and effective work zone for workers and public traffic?	23 CFR 630.1108(f)2 Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction, Section 00225.90 ODOT Traffic Control Design Manual	Yes , individual Pay Items were included in the contract for major categories of traffic control devices used to provide a safe and effective work zone for workers and public traffic No , individual Pay Items were not included in the contract for major categories of traffic control devices used to provide a safe and effective work zone for workers and public traffic. (Explanation required). N/A , requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
WZ5	Did the LPA's project inspectors inspect and monitor temporary traffic	23 CFR 630.1110 Oregon	Yes , the LPA's project inspectors inspected and monitored temporary traffic control	Verify with a check of at least 5 non-consecutive, contractor provided Traffic Control Inspection Reports and 5

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
	control measures/devices separately from the contractor and include findings in their daily progress reports?	Standard Specifications for Construction, Sections 00150.02, 00150.20 & 00225.32(a) &(b)	<p>measures/devices and included findings in the daily progress reports.</p> <p>No, the LPA's project inspectors did not inspect and monitor temporary traffic control measures/devices and did not include findings in the daily progress reports. (Comment required)</p> <p>N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)</p> <p>Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)</p>	inspector daily progress report entries corresponding to the dates of the contractor provided reports .
WZ6	<p>Were bicycle, pedestrian and ADA accommodations included in the TCP and implemented during construction, where applicable?</p> <p>Were bicycle, pedestrian and ADA accommodations equal to or better than the existing level of accessibility during construction?</p>	<p>23 CFR 655.603</p> <p>MUTCD Section 6D.02</p>	<p>Yes, bicycle, pedestrian and ADA accommodations were included in the TCP and implemented during construction, where applicable.</p> <p>Yes, bicycle, pedestrian and ADA accommodations were included in the TCP and implemented during construction, where applicable.</p> <p>No, bicycle, pedestrian and ADA accommodations were not included in the TCP and implemented during construction. (Explanation required)</p> <p>N/A, requirement does not apply (Comment required)</p> <p>Don't Know, could not</p>	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
			be verified at the time of review (Comment required)	
WZ7	Were there any issues with work zone safety or freight/vehicular mobility which occurred during construction that necessitated changes to the TCP or construction staging?	23 CFR 630.1110 Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction, Section 00225 ODOT Traffic Control Design Manual	No , there were no issues with work zone safety or freight/vehicular mobility which occurred during construction that necessitated changes to the TCP or construction staging. Yes , there were issues with work zone safety or freight/vehicular mobility which occurred during construction that necessitated changes to the TCP or construction staging. (Comment required) N/A , requirement does not apply (Comment required) Don't Know , could not be verified at the time of review (Comment required)	
ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS				
	Insert Question			
	Insert Question			