



ODF Wildland-Urban Interface and Statewide Wildfire Risk Mapping Rulemaking Advisory Committee (RAC)

November 18, 2021 Meeting Summary

I. Agenda

Time	Agenda Item
8:45 – 9:00 a.m. (15 mins)	<i>Pre-Meeting: Project Team Joins Early</i>
9:00 – 9:15 a.m. (15 mins)	Welcome, Agenda & Materials <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcome and Updates from ODF • Agenda Review • Materials and Meeting Requests
9:15 – 9:25 a.m. (10 mins)	Review Updated Workplan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll Questions • Overview of RAC Workflow
9:25 – 10:05 a.m. (40 mins)	Presentations: DLCDC, OSFM, and DCBS
10:05 – 10:45 a.m. (40 mins)	Follow-up: Refine recommendation from last meeting’s discussion: Q #9: How should “structures” be defined? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Original Recommendation: The Department recommends defining “structures” as “a permanently sited building on a tax lot that is used as a home, residence, or sleeping place by one or more people.” • NEW Recommendation: The Department recommends defining “structures” as “a permitted building on a tax lot that is used as a dwelling where one or more people sleep.” Q #10: How should “other human development” be defined? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Original Recommendation : The Department recommends defining “other human development” as “fundamental facilities, communication, energy and transportation that supports a populated area.” • NEW Recommendation: The Department recommends defining “other human development” as “fundamental facilities that support community functions, public communication, energy and transportation.”
10:45 – 10:55 a.m. (10 mins)	<i>Mini-Break</i>
10:55 – 11:15 a.m. (20 mins)	Q #11: Should the department consider future growth, including planned undeveloped urban growth boundaries, as within the WUI boundary?



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Original Recommendation: The Department recommends including areas designated in five-year plans be included in the WUI boundary. • NEW Recommendation: The Department recommends areas of existing and planned development be included in the WUI boundary.
11:15 – 11:55 a.m. (40 mins)	<p>Continued Item: Q #15: Should the next wildfire risk assessment take projected future regrowth into account?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation: The Department recommends that we don't take projected future regrowth into account, new risk assessments should capture regrowth as it occurs on the ground for accuracy purposes.
11:55 – 12:35 p.m. (40 mins)	<p>Continued Item: Q #16 (was 5.b.): In future assessments should these percentages remain static, or should the percentages be rerun at each assessment utilizing a natural breaks methodology?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation: • The Department recommends that the percentages be rerun at each assessment utilizing a natural breaks methodology, or • The Department recommends that the percentages remain static.
12:35 – 12:45 p.m. (10 mins)	<i>Mini-Break</i>
12:45 – 12:55 p.m. (10 mins)	Public Comment
12:55 – 1:00 p.m. (5 mins)	<p>Process Check-in, if Needed</p> <p>Next Steps Confirm action items, discuss follow-up, and share topics for next meeting – <i>Tim and Sam.</i></p>
1:00 p.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adjourn

II. Relevant Links

1. Oct. 14th, 2021 Official Meeting Record: See, <https://www.oregon.gov/odf/board/Pages/rac.aspx>
2. ODF RAC Website: <https://www.oregon.gov/odf/board/Pages/rac.aspx>
3. Oregon Explorer Natural Resources Digital Library: <https://oregonexplorer.info/topics/wildfire-risk?ptopic=62>
4. Oregon Explorer Statewide Map: https://tools.oregonexplorer.info/OE_HtmlViewer/index.html?viewer=wildfireplanning



III. RAC Discussion Protocol on ODF Recommendations

(Modified During 10-14-21 RAC Meeting)

1. State Question
2. ODF and/or OSU Recommendation(s)
3. Use: a) OAR, b) Implementation, or c) Both
4. Basis for Recommendation (e.g., the reasoning behind it.)
5. What the Recommendation Does NOT Mean
6. RAC Clarifying Questions
7. Member Discussion with Q&A
8. Preliminary and/or Final Polling
9. Document Result:
 - a) Consensus or No Consensus
 - b) Revisit Next Meeting or Later in Process
10. Reminder: Either Way, Opportunity for RAC Member Comments to Accompany ODF Staff Report to Board



IV. Participant Attendance List

Organization	RAC Member	Attended	RAC Alternate	Attended
1000 Friends	Mary Kyle McCurdy	X		
Associated Oregon Loggers	Amanda Astor	X	Rex Storm	
Association of Oregon Counties	Mallorie Roberts		Drenda Howatt	
Association of Oregon County Planning Directors	Holly Kerns		Lindsey Eicher or Jill Rolfe	X
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Jason Robison	X	Tim Vrendenburg	
Department of Land Use & Conservation	Jon Jinings	X	Sadie Carney	X
Hood River County Planning Commission	Leti Moretti			
Jackson County Fire	Robert (Bob) Horton			
League of Oregon Cities	Jim McCauley	X		
Office of the State Fire Marshal	Travis Medema		Chad Hawkins	X
Oregon Farm Bureau	Lauren Smith	X	Mary Anne Cooper	
Oregon Fire Chiefs Association	Nicole Palmateer Hazelbaker	X		
Oregon Fire Marshall's Association	Shawn Olson		Tanner Fairrington or Ryan Kragero	X
Oregon Forest Industries Council	Kyle Williams	X	Mike Eliason	
Oregon Home Builders	Mark Long	X	Karna Gustafson	
Oregon Property Owner's Association	Dave Hunnicut	X	Samantha Bayer	
Oregon Small Woodlands Association	Roger Beyer	X		
Oregon State University	Chris Dunn	X		
Oregon State University	Erica Fischer			
Sisters Fire	Roger Johnson	X		
Special Districts Association	Michele Bradley	X	Jason Jantzi	
Sustainable Northwest	Dylan Kruse			
Tualatin Valley Fire	Les Hallman	X		
Western Environmental Law Center	Pam Hardy	X	Marlee Goska	
The Nature Conservancy	Amelia Porterfield	X	Kerry Metlen	
Oregon State University – Institute of Natural Resources	Megan Creutzburg	X		



Non-Voting Information Sources

ODF	Tim Holschbach	Jenna Trentadue
ODF	Derek Gasperini	Adam Meyer
ODF	Tom Fields	
USFS	Ian Rickert	
BLM	Richard Parrish	

Visitors

Jim Kelly—Chari, Board of Forestry
Karla Chambers—Board of Forestry
Amber Cross—OSFM
Andy Boulton—BCD
Andy McEvoy
Bob Holstrom
Carine Arendes, Washington Co. LUT
Chase Browning
Clara Butler—OSFM
Ed Keith
Garett Mosher
Jordan Edner
Marian Lahav
Myrica Muir McCune
Palmer Mason
Rachel Serslev
Richard Rogers—BCD
Abrown
503-979-3329

Facilitator

Sam Imperati, ICM resolutions



V. Polling

Poll Question #10: How should “other human development” be defined?

ODF Recommendation: The Department recommends defining “other human development” as “essential facilities (ORS 455.447) that support community functions, public communication, energy and transportation.”

Organization	Contact Person	Not Here	Abstain = 0	1	2	3
1000 Friends	Mary Kyle McCurdy				X	
Associated Oregon Loggers	Amanda Astor/ Rex Storm					
Association of Oregon Counties	Mallorie Roberts/ Drenda Howatt	X				
Association of Oregon County Planning Directors	Holly Kerns / Lindsey Eicher or Jill Rolfe				X	
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Jason Robison/ Tim Vrendenburg					
Department of Land Use & Conservation	Jon Jinings / Sadie Carney		X			
Hood River County Planning Commission	Leti Moretti	X				
Jackson County Fire	Robert (Bob) Horton	X				
League of Oregon Cities	Jim McCauley			X		
Office of the State Fire Marshal	Travis Medema/ Chad Hawkins					
Oregon Farm Bureau	Lauren Smith/Mary Anne Cooper				X	
Oregon Fire Chiefs Association	Nicole Palmateer Hazelbaker					
Oregon Fire Marshall's Association	Shawn Olson / Tanner Fairrington or Ryan Kragero				X	
Oregon Forest Industries Council	Kyle Williams/ Mike Eliason			X		
Oregon Home Builders	Mark Long/ Karna Gustafson				X	
Oregon Property Owner's Association	Dave Hunnicut / Samantha Bayer			X		
Oregon Small Woodlands Association	Roger Beyer				X	
Oregon State University	Chris Dunn					
Oregon State University	Erica Fischer	X				



Sisters Fire	Roger Johnson				X	
Special Districts Association	Michele Bradley / Jason Jantzi					
Sustainable Northwest	Dylan Kruse	X				
Tualatin Valley Fire	Les Hallman					
Western Environmental Law Center	Pam Hardy/ Marlee Goska				X	
The Nature Conservancy	Amelia Porterfield/ Kerry Metlen				X	
Oregon State University – Institute of Natural Resources	Megan Creutzburg					
	TOTALS:	5	1	3	9	0
	CODE	Not Here	Abstain	1	2	3

RESULT: Consensus

Summary of Major Reasons in Support: See Meeting Recording

Minority Proposal: See Meeting Recording

Summary of Major in Reasons in Opposition: See Meeting Recording



Poll Question #9 How should “structures” be defined?

ODF Recommendation: The department recommends defining “structures” as “a permitted building on a lot that is used as a place where one or more people sleep.”

Organization	Contact Person	Not Here	Abstain = 0	1	2	3
1000 Friends	Mary Kyle McCurdy				X	
Associated Oregon Loggers	Amanda Astor/ Rex Storm					
Association of Oregon Counties	Mallorie Roberts/ Drenda Howatt	X				
Association of Oregon County Planning Directors	Holly Kerns / Lindsey Eicher or Jill Rolfe				X	
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Jason Robison/ Tim Vrendenburg					
Department of Land Use & Conservation	Jon Jinings / Sadie Carney		X			
Hood River County Planning Commission	Leti Moretti	X				
Jackson County Fire	Robert (Bob) Horton	X				
League of Oregon Cities	Jim McCauley				X	
Office of the State Fire Marshal	Travis Medema/ Chad Hawkins					
Oregon Farm Bureau	Lauren Smith/Mary Anne Cooper			X		
Oregon Fire Chiefs Association	Nicole Palmateer Hazelbaker					
Oregon Fire Marshall's Association	Shawn Olson / Tanner Fairrington or Ryan Kragero				X	
Oregon Forest Industries Council	Kyle Williams/ Mike Eliason			X		
Oregon Home Builders	Mark Long/ Karna Gustafson				X	
Oregon Property Owner's Association	Dave Hunnicut / Samantha Bayer			X		
Oregon Small Woodlands Association	Roger Beyer					X
Oregon State University	Chris Dunn					
Oregon State University	Erica Fischer	X				
Sisters Fire	Roger Johnson			X		
Special Districts Association	Michele Bradley / Jason Jantzi					



Sustainable Northwest	Dylan Kruse	X				
Tualatin Valley Fire	Les Hallman					
Western Environmental Law Center	Pam Hardy/ Marlee Goska					X
The Nature Conservancy	Amelia Porterfield/ Kerry Metlen				X	
Oregon State University – Institute of Natural Resources	Megan Creutzburg					
	TOTALS:	5	1	4	6	2
	CODE	Not Here	Abstain	1	2	3

RESULT: No Consensus

Summary of Major Reasons in Support: See Meeting Recording

Minority Proposal: See Meeting Recording.

Summary of Major in Reasons in Opposition: See Meeting Recording.



Poll Question #11: Should the department consider future growth, including planned undeveloped urban growth boundaries, as within the WUI boundary?

ODF Recommendation: NEW Recommendation: The department recommends areas of existing and planned development, within the urban growth boundary or unincorporated communities, be included in the WUI boundary.

Organization	Contact Person	Not Here	Abstain = 0	1	2	3
1000 Friends	Mary Kyle McCurdy				X	
Associated Oregon Loggers	Amanda Astor/ Rex Storm					
Association of Oregon Counties	Mallorie Roberts/ Drenda Howatt	X				
Association of Oregon County Planning Directors	Holly Kerns / Lindsey Eicher or Jill Rolfe				X	
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Jason Robison/ Tim Vrendenburg					
Department of Land Use & Conservation	Jon Jinings / Sadie Carney				X	
Hood River County Planning Commission	Leti Moretti	X				
Jackson County Fire	Robert (Bob) Horton	X				
League of Oregon Cities	Jim McCauley			X		
Office of the State Fire Marshal	Travis Medema/ Chad Hawkins					
Oregon Farm Bureau	Lauren Smith/Mary Anne Cooper				X	
Oregon Fire Chiefs Association	Nicole Palmateer Hazelbaker					
Oregon Fire Marshall's Association	Shawn Olson / Tanner Fairrington or Ryan Kragero			X		
Oregon Forest Industries Council	Kyle Williams/ Mike Eliason			X		
Oregon Home Builders	Mark Long/ Karna Gustafson				X	
Oregon Property Owner's Association	Dave Hunnicut / Samantha Bayer					X
Oregon Small Woodlands Association	Roger Beyer				X	
Oregon State University	Chris Dunn					
Oregon State University	Erica Fischer	X				



Sisters Fire	Roger Johnson				X	
Special Districts Association	Michele Bradley / Jason Jantzi					
Sustainable Northwest	Dylan Kruse	X				
Tualatin Valley Fire	Les Hallman			X		
Western Environmental Law Center	Pam Hardy/ Marlee Goska			X		
The Nature Conservancy	Amelia Porterfield/ Kerry Metlen				X	
Oregon State University – Institute of Natural Resources	Megan Creutzburg					
	TOTALS:	5	0	5	8	1
	CODE	Not Here	Abstain	1	2	3

RESULT: No Consensus

Summary of Major Reasons in Support: See Meeting Recording.

Minority Proposal: See Meeting Recording.

Summary of Major in Reasons in Opposition: See Meeting Recording.



Poll Question #15: Should the next wildfire risk assessment take projected future regrowth into account?

ODF Recommendation: The department recommends that we don't take projected future regrowth into account, new risk assessments should capture regrowth as it occurs on the ground for accuracy purposes.

Organization	Contact Person	Not Here	Abstain = 0	1	2	3
1000 Friends	Mary Kyle McCurdy					
Associated Oregon Loggers	Amanda Astor/ Rex Storm					
Association of Oregon Counties	Mallorie Roberts/ Drenda Howatt	X				
Association of Oregon County Planning Directors	Holly Kerns / Lindsey Eicher or Jill Rolfe			X		
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Jason Robison/ Tim Vrendenburg					
Department of Land Use & Conservation	Jon Jinings / Sadie Carney					
Hood River County Planning Commission	Leti Moretti	X				
Jackson County Fire	Robert (Bob) Horton	X				
League of Oregon Cities	Jim McCauley			X		
Office of the State Fire Marshal	Travis Medema/ Chad Hawkins					
Oregon Farm Bureau	Lauren Smith/Mary Anne Cooper			X		
Oregon Fire Chiefs Association	Nicole Palmateer Hazelbaker					
Oregon Fire Marshall's Association	Shawn Olson / Tanner Fairrington or Ryan Kragero					X
Oregon Forest Industries Council	Kyle Williams/ Mike Eliason			X		
Oregon Home Builders	Mark Long/ Karna Gustafson			X		
Oregon Property Owner's Association	Dave Hunnicut / Samantha Bayer			X		
Oregon Small Woodlands Association	Roger Beyer					
Oregon State University	Chris Dunn					
Oregon State University	Erica Fischer	X				



Sisters Fire	Roger Johnson			X		
Special Districts Association	Michele Bradley / Jason Jantzi			X		
Sustainable Northwest	Dylan Kruse	X				
Tualatin Valley Fire	Les Hallman			X		
Western Environmental Law Center	Pam Hardy/ Marlee Goska					X
The Nature Conservancy	Amelia Porterfield/ Kerry Metlen			X		
Oregon State University – Institute of Natural Resources	Megan Creutzburg				X	
	TOTALS:	5	0	10	1	2
	CODE	Not Here	Abstain	1	2	3

RESULT: No Consensus

Summary of Major Reasons in Support: See Meeting Recording

Minority Proposal: See Meeting Recording

Summary of Major in Reasons in Opposition: See Meeting Recording



Poll Question #16 (was 5.b.): In future assessments should these percentages remain static, or should the percentages be rerun at each assessment utilizing a natural breaks methodology?

ODF Recommendation: The department recommends that the absolute values that establish the threshold for original risk classes remain static.

Organization	Contact Person	Not Here	Abstain = 0	1	2	3
1000 Friends	Mary Kyle McCurdy					
Associated Oregon Loggers	Amanda Astor/ Rex Storm					
Association of Oregon Counties	Mallorie Roberts/ Drenda Howatt	X				
Association of Oregon County Planning Directors	Holly Kerns / Lindsey Eicher or Jill Rolfe			X		
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Jason Robison/ Tim Vrendenburg					
Department of Land Use & Conservation	Jon Jinings / Sadie Carney					
Hood River County Planning Commission	Leti Moretti	X				
Jackson County Fire	Robert (Bob) Horton	X				
League of Oregon Cities	Jim McCauley			X		
Office of the State Fire Marshal	Travis Medema/ Chad Hawkins					
Oregon Farm Bureau	Lauren Smith/Mary Anne Cooper					
Oregon Fire Chiefs Association	Nicole Palmateer Hazelbaker					
Oregon Fire Marshall's Association	Shawn Olson / Tanner Fairrington or Ryan Kragero			X		
Oregon Forest Industries Council	Kyle Williams/ Mike Eliason			X		
Oregon Home Builders	Mark Long/ Karna Gustafson				X	
Oregon Property Owner's Association	Dave Hunnicut / Samantha Bayer					
Oregon Small Woodlands Association	Roger Beyer					
Oregon State University	Chris Dunn					
Oregon State University	Erica Fischer	X				



Sisters Fire	Roger Johnson			X		
Special Districts Association	Michele Bradley / Jason Jantzi			X		
Sustainable Northwest	Dylan Kruse	X				
Tualatin Valley Fire	Les Hallman			X		
Western Environmental Law Center	Pam Hardy/ Marlee Goska			X		
The Nature Conservancy	Amelia Porterfield/ Kerry Metlen				X	
Oregon State University – Institute of Natural Resources	Megan Creutzburg					X
	TOTALS:	5	0	8	2	1
	CODE	Not Here	Abstain	1	2	3

RESULT: No Consensus

Summary of Major Reasons in Support: See Meeting Recording.

Minority Proposal: See Meeting Recording.

Summary of Major in Reasons in Opposition: See Meeting Recording.



VI. Meeting Chat

09:20:17 From Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Also needs to be "High and Extreme" or no additional regulations apply, correct?

09:20:29 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

13. How should the Department define "Meets" and "Intermingles?"

- Recommendation: The Department recommends the following recommendations, which are consistent with the regional and federal guidelines, as follows.

- a. "Meets" (interface) one structure/40 acre with >50% wildland/vegetative fuels.
- b. "Intermingles" (Intermix) one structure/40 acre with a 2.4 km buffer into the community from and area with >75% wildland/vegetative fuels.

09:20:49 From RAC-Lauren Smith, OFB :

No Jon- Counties or other local governments can regulate the lower levels

09:21:43 From Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Thanks, for the clarification, Lauren!

09:22:11 From RAC-Lauren Smith, OFB :

No problem! Who knows if any will regulate, but good to keep in mind

09:24:14 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

Q #10: How should "other human development" be defined?

- NEW Recommendation: The Department recommends defining "other human development" as "fundamental facilities that support community functions, public communication, energy and transportation."

09:50:11 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

DLCD anticipates getting a webpage published on this next week or shortly after.

09:50:28 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

We will make sure to include more info there.

09:50:35 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :



A TAC would work instead of a RAC

09:51:08 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

Jill - happy to connect with you on our proposed approach, if you like.

09:51:31 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Sadie that would be great.

10:04:34 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

Thanks very much to Jon and Chad for these presentations, this really helps me understand the broader bill much better

10:07:09 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Thanks, Chad. That makes a ton of sense.

10:21:00 From ALT RAC- Chad Hawkins- OSFM :

Thank you both, don't hesitate to reach out if you have questions.

10:21:38 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

Thank you, Tim. It sounds like some of these distinctions won't end up really affecting the end products. It would be helpful to get a better sense of which terms will really influence the maps (my sense is that intermingles and intermix will be in this category) and which things are details that won't matter much

10:23:51 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

Tim, can you drop that ORS in the chat

10:24:06 From RAC - Jim McCauley, LOC :

https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_455.447

10:26:07 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

Thanks, that is helpful context Lauren, sorry if I oversimplified things too much :)

10:26:16 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Do you want to consider using "Essential facility" instead of fundamental facility to have consistency?

10:34:50 From RAC - Jim McCauley, LOC :

455.447 does reference schools..Buildings with a capacity greater than 250 individuals for every public, private or parochial school through secondary level or child care centers;

10:35:11 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :



ORS 90.010 Unit of Land covers parcel, lot or any lawfully created unit of land.

10:35:26 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Sorry ORS 92.010

10:37:42 From RAC - Amelia Porterfield - TNC :

Thanks Jim, though schools aren't included within "essential facility." They're under "Special occupancy structure", which is a different list. Even the combo of the two lists misses smaller facilities, including nursing homes or other congregant care settings with less than 50 residents. It's not a bad start to the list, but still seems incomplete

10:39:00 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

What would be the downside of including all buildings as a 'structure' and other infrastructure (with some exclusions as determined by the RAC, maybe?) as 'other human development'? I am hearing consistent comments from RAC members that this is getting too complicated. Is that too simple?

10:40:23 From RAC, Pam Hardy, WELC :

Suggestion: define structure according to the standard dictionary definitions. AND: draft a RAC recommendation that states that defensible space only be required around places where people sleep or regularly congregate.

10:40:46 From RAC-Lauren Smith, OFB :

Megan it is too simple- it pulls in buildings that it is my understanding we did not intend to regulate, i.e. ag buildings

10:41:48 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Also, I don't think all infrastructure was intended to be included. ex. onsite septic systems and roads

10:41:55 From RAC, Pam Hardy, WELC :

The real regulation comes in the defensible space. If there are no or minimal requirements in the defensible space requirements, we're not regulating them.

10:45:46 From Alt RAC Tanner Fairrington - OFMA :

What if the definition for structure included:

10:56:45 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

DLCD would be very happy to help.

11:02:18 From RAC - Jim McCauley, LOC :

a sub(1) reference in 455 makes sense to capture the range of structures...



11:04:43 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

#11 The Department recommends defining “other human development” as “essential facilities (ORS 455.447) that support community functions, public communication, energy and transportation.”

11:06:01 From RAC-Lauren Smith, OFB :

I would like to see a more refined definition of "energy"

11:06:29 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

We are going to abstain.

11:06:48 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Definitions should be consistent.

11:07:11 From RAC, Pam Hardy, WELC :

2 - this is not a complete list as it excludes important places that people congregate regularly, such as schools, which are often at the edge of human development because as cities expand, that’s where the available land is.

11:07:41 From RAC - Amelia Porterfield - TNC :

I almost voted 3 since this proposal doesn't really incorporate our discussion. using 'essential facilities' as defined in this statute leaves significant gaps in coverage that we just discussed. knowing that future work will occur on this language, I went for 2 but have strong concerns

11:08:12 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

#9 The Department recommends defining “structures” as “a permitted building on a lot that is used as a place where one or more people sleep.”

11:10:03 From Alt RAC Tanner Fairrington - OFMA :

For consistency with common planning, building, and fire terms, OFMA would prefer to see the "structures" definition include more buildings than just dwellings and apartments and limit "other human development" to include structures. As mentioned during discussion, this would make the definition more consistent and would likely result in more consistent application. If "other human development" does include buildings not included in the structures definition, we would recommend referencing 455.447, or including specific definitions from that ORS including essential facilities, hazardous facility, special occupancy structure, etc. We would also ask for the opportunity to weigh in on the list of items to include.

11:10:12 From RAC - Jim McCauley, LOC :

need to also have "parcel" to capture development in rural areas and for consistency



11:10:13 From RAC Mary Kyle McCurdy (she/her) :

What about RV parks that are used as permanent living places, tiny homes, and other things not regulated by building codes but people live in them.

11:10:33 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

2 - Please make sure to be consistent with ORS 92 for lot. Unit of land captures lot and parcel

11:11:07 From RAC, Pam Hardy, WELC :

Re: #9: I don't like limiting this to dwellings. I still prefer honest dictionary definitions, and excluding places like barns in the defensible space regulations. At the very least, I suggest adding where people regularly congregate, such as schools.

11:11:31 From RAC Mary Kyle McCurdy (she/her) :

I agree with Jill on the "lot" issue.

11:11:59 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

DLCD chose not to vote on #9 either.

11:13:35 From RAC - Amelia Porterfield - TNC :

2 -TNC would still prefer to use a definition more in line with wui code, that focuses on occupancy. This is essentially defining structure as dwelling, and those terms have different meaning. It remains unclear what the combo of structure & other human development covers within our communities. Even within the proposed language, there is an issue in that we had RAC discussion around using the term parcel vs. lot.

11:14:10 From RAC-Lauren Smith, OFB :

That is my understanding Tanner

11:14:47 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Should it be dwelling and then all other structural development?

11:26:04 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

Do you mean an Urban Growth Boundary, Dave?

11:26:10 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

Is that the "boundary"?

11:26:22 From Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

Yes



11:27:03 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

Gotcha. I think areas planned for rural residential development, outside urban growth boundaries, should be considered at risk.

11:27:15 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

As with unincorporated communities.

11:27:38 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

I would agree with Sadie. Also, urban reserves

11:28:27 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Blue River used to look like a town until it all burned to the ground.

11:29:59 From RAC - Jim McCauley, LOC :

quickly vote!!

11:31:21 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Urban growth boundaries, Unincorporated Communities (urban/rural) and urban reserves.

11:31:50 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Thanks, Jill. That feels like a natural focus.

11:32:30 From Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

I wouldn't want to pick up reserves Jill because there's uncertainty about when they'll come into the boundary. Might be next year, might be 30 years down the road.

11:33:09 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

- NEW Recommendation: The Department recommends areas of existing and planned development be included in the WUI boundary.

11:33:21 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Dave - I am fine with that.

11:34:13 From Alt RAC Tanner Fairrington - OFMA :

I think I accidentally voted 2, and meant to vote 1.

11:35:18 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

I wasn't ABLE to vote that time. LOL.



11:36:23 From Derek Gasperini—ODF :

Sorry, Jon. You were still a co-host from your presentation, and co-hosts aren't able to vote. I have removed the co-host designation for future polling.

11:37:43 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

No worries!

11:38:06 From Derek Gasperini—ODF :

If you let me know your vote, I can add it to the summary vote tally.

11:38:26 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

recommend - areas of existing and planned within the UGB or UCC included in the WUI boundary

11:38:32 From Tim Holschbach - ODF : NEW Recommendation:

The Department recommends areas of existing and planned development, within the urban growth boundary or unincorporated communities, be included in the WUI boundary.

11:38:34 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

2. I'm a little confused.

11:47:09 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

I think it would be helpful to specify that "regrowth" is describing "vegetative fuels" - and not say, rebuilding of a community that burned.

11:47:16 From Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

But the direction and timing of growth is a function of 1) desire of the property owner and 2) the land use system. Absent some application and/or consultation with the local planners, saying "we know growth is going to go there" will result in inaccurate guesswork. Maybe it will, maybe it won't.

11:47:17 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

Include areas planned and zoned for urban levels of development including lands within the Urban Growth Boundary and Unincorporated Boundaries as defined in OAR 660 Division 22.

11:50:01 From Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

That would be my recommendation as well Jill.

12:01:58 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :



I agree with Pam, I am looking at the Oregon Wildfire Risk Explorer right now and places that had recently burned before the last risk assessment have a much lower risk, and it may take multiple cycles of risk assessment for fuels to grow back and enough to be captured in the assessment.

12:02:03 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

- Recommendation: The Department recommends that we don't take projected future regrowth into account, new risk assessments should capture regrowth as it occurs on the ground for accuracy purposes.

12:03:25 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

2 - if we want to be proactive about future fire risk, we may need to take that projected future growth into account

12:03:44 From RAC, Pam Hardy, WELC :

I voted 3: I don't think the language as written captures the problem of building back after a wildfire in a low-risk zone that will become a high-risk zone long before the lifecycle of the structure is complete.

12:04:00 From ALT RAC - Sadie Carney (she/her) DLCD :

Mark, can you help me locate the bill (section, sub-section) where the remodel standards are located?

12:05:16 From RAC - mark long, OHBA :

Section 12, not 13 (misspoke) subsection (2)

12:05:34 From RAC, Pam Hardy, WELC :

Suggestion: include additional map layers that project future changes in fire risk based on vegetation growth.

12:06:40 From Alt RAC Tanner Farrington - OFMA :

I voted a 3 as well for the same reasons mentioned by Pam. I would be interested in further conversation on this topic, but as it's written it has the potential to limit resiliency.

12:07:48 From RAC-Chris Dunn, Oregon State University :

It's Jenks Natural Breaks

12:24:48 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

What is the difference between percent and percentile?

12:31:40 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

Agreed, it shouldn't be up to one person. Perhaps a technical committee? But this RAC is not the right venue in my opinion



12:34:11 From RAC, Pam Hardy, WELC :

That was well explained, Kyle. Thank you.

12:38:06 From RAC - Amelia Porterfield - TNC :

Thanks Les - that is similar to the other half of my question that didn't quite get addressed...

12:38:21 From Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

Following up on what you said Chris, if a property has no risk, then why would we include it in the WUI?

12:45:22 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

Chris, can you clarify which one you were talking about being your preferred option?

12:47:16 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

- The Department recommends that the absolute values remain static.

12:47:59 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

- The Department recommends that the absolute values that establish the threshold for original risk classes remain static.

12:50:10 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

So areas in a high risk category today, remain in a high risk category tomorrow, regardless of the amount of development that may (or may not) occur?

12:51:58 From RAC - Megan Creutzburg, Inst for Natural Resources :

3 - I don't think we have the information we need to make an informed decision

12:53:49 From Tim Holschbach - ODF :

- The Department recommends that the absolute values that establish the threshold for original risk classes remain static.

12:53:59 From ALT RAC - Jill Rolfe for Planning Directors :

I would like to see an answer to Jon Jinings question for clarification.

12:56:00 From RAC-Chris Dunn, Oregon State University :

Jon, we will always make an assessment across Oregon. If a property's risk level is, in the example I gave, above a value that is the threshold for high, then they will be high. If their risk level declines, they will fall into the moderate. The question is about the demarcation (threshold) between those risk classes. Do the thresholds track a percentile of homes (i.e. only 5% of our homes will be extreme at any time) or an absolute risk value, such that the percentile of homes in a category can change. We could have 10% in



extreme, or 2%. And that will be determined based on the thresholds we determine through this process.

12:58:20 **From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :**

Thank you for your patience.

13:00:17 **From RAC-Chris Dunn, Oregon State University :**

Great questions all. It is a consequential decision that won't come up for years, but needs to be addressed today. Doing our best to foreshadow. Not ideal, but hopefully informative and I think the best we can do today. Feel free to reach out anytime with more questions as we'll see an OAR in the future too.

13:00:44 **From Alt RAC Tanner Fairrington - OFMA :**

Thank's everyone!