1	BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION			
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
3	)			
4	IN THE MATTER OF ) MUTUAL AGREEMENT OWENS-BROCKWAY GLASS ) AND FINAL ORDER			
5 6	CONTAINER INC., a Delaware corporation, Respondent.)  CASE NO. AQ/V-NWR-2020-208			
7	WHEREAS:			
8	1. On June 3, 2021, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) issued Notice of			
9	Civil Penalty Assessment and Order (Notice) to Respondent. DEQ assessed a \$1,023,054 civil			
10	penalty against Respondent for violations alleged in the Notice.			
11	2. On June 25, 2021, Respondent filed a timely request for hearing.			
12	3. Respondent has not operated Furnace A since it was shut down on June 8, 2020.			
13	4. On July 28, 2021, Respondent submitted an application to DEQ for an			
14	administrative amendment to the Permit to remove Furnace A (emission unit GM1) and its			
15	related emissions from the Permit.			
16	5. On August 9, 2021, Respondent and DEQ entered into Stipulated Agreement and			
17	Final Order No. 26-1876 under Round Two of the Regional Haze Program (the Regional Haze			
18	SAFO). Among other requirements, under the Regional Haze SAFO, Respondent agreed not to			
19	operate Furnace A on or after August 9, 2021.			
20	I. AGREEMENT			
21	Respondent and DEQ hereby agree that:			
22	1. This Mutual Agreement and Final Order (MAO) shall be effective upon the date			
23	fully executed (MAO Effective Date).			
24	2. DEQ and Respondent understand and agree that by entering into this MAO, paying			
25	any sum due pursuant to this MAO, or taking any other action required or agreed to pursuant to this			
26	MAO, Respondent does not admit and nothing in this MAO is to be construed as an admission of			
27				

27 \\\\

///

any factual allegations, legal conclusions, or liability herein or otherwise related to this MAO or the Notice and the exhibits thereto.

- 3. As in the Notice, in this MAO the "Facility" means the glass manufacturing facility operated by Respondent and located at 9710 NE Glass Plant Road in Portland, Oregon.
- 4. As in the Notice, in this MAO the "Permit" means Respondent's Oregon Title V Operating Permit No. 26-1876-TV-01, issued by DEQ on December 10, 2019.
- 5. Notwithstanding Section I, Paragraph 2, DEQ alleges that until Respondent installs and begins operating pollution controls on Furnace D (also referred to as GM4) or ceases to operate Furnace D as required under Section II, Paragraph 3 of this MAO, Respondent's continued operation of Furnace D may result in additional violations of the 0.10 gr/dscf Total PM limit (Condition 14 of the Permit in effect or as renumbered).
- 6. Notwithstanding Section I, Paragraph 2, DEQ and Respondent recognize that the Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) has the authority to impose civil penalties and to issue an abatement order for violations of conditions of the Permit. Therefore, pursuant to ORS 183.417(3), DEQ and Respondent wish to settle violations referred to in Section III, Paragraph 1 of the Notice, as amended by Section I, Paragraph 5 of this MAO. These are Class I violations according to OAR 340-012-0055(1)(o). DEQ's amended findings and the calculation of the civil penalty for these violations is attached and incorporated in Amended Exhibit 1. As described in Amended Exhibit 1, the civil penalty for Violation No. 1 is changed from \$979,854 to \$624,556.
- 7. Exhibit 2 of the Notice is amended reducing the C factor of the civil penalty from 2 to -3. This results in a change in the civil penalty for Violation No. 2 from \$36,000 to \$30,000. The amended findings and determination of the civil penalty is attached and incorporated as Amended Exhibit 2.
  - 8. Exhibit 3 remains unchanged, with a civil penalty of \$7,200.
  - 9. The total civil penalty is reduced from \$1,023,054 to \$661,756.

- 10. Pursuant to OAR 340-012-0030(19) and OAR 340-012-0145(2), the violations alleged in the Notice and as amended by this MAO, will be treated as prior significant actions in the event a future violation occurs.
- 11. Respondent waives any and all rights and objections Respondent may have to the form, content, manner of service and timeliness of the Notice; to a contested case hearing and judicial review of the Notice; and to service of a copy of this MAO.
- 12. This MAO resolves all civil claims of DEQ, based upon the facts alleged, for the violations expressly alleged in the Notice as amended by the MAO. This MAO is not intended to limit, in any way, DEQ's right to proceed against Respondent in any forum for any past or future violations not expressly settled herein. This MAO is not intended to limit, in any way, DEQ's right to make future revisions to Respondent's Permit.
- 13. Respondent releases and waives any and all claims of any kind, known or unknown, past or future, against the State of Oregon or its agencies, instrumentalities, employees, officers, or agents, arising out of the matters and events relating to the matter set out in the Notice and this MAO. Any and all claims includes but is not limited to any claim under 42 USC § 1983 et seq., any claim under federal or state law for damages, declaratory, or equitable relief, and any claim for attorney's fees or costs.
- 14. This MAO shall be binding on Respondent and its respective successors, agents, and assigns. The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to execute and bind Respondent to this MAO. No change in ownership, corporate or partnership status of Respondent, or change in the ownership of the properties or businesses affected by this MAO shall in any way alter Respondent's obligation under this MAO, unless otherwise approved in writing by DEQ through an amendment to this MAO.

27 \\\\

- 19. The terms of this MAO may be amended by mutual agreement of DEQ and Respondent. In addition, DEQ may approve at its discretion Respondent's request for additional time to complete any of the requirements specified in Section II, Paragraph 3.b, provided that the request is submitted in advance of the due date.
- If any event occurs that is beyond Respondent's reasonable control and that may 20. cause or delay a deviation in Respondent's satisfactorily completing the requirements contained in Section II, Paragraphs 3.a or 3.b despite Respondent's reasonable efforts ("Force Majeure"), Respondent will promptly, upon learning of the event, notify DEQ verbally of the cause of the delay or deviation, its anticipated duration, the measures that have been taken or will be taken to prevent or minimize the delay or deviation, and the timetable by which Respondent proposes to carry out such measures. Respondent will confirm in writing this information within five working days of the verbal notification. Failure to comply with these notice requirements precludes Respondent from asserting Force Majeure for the event and for any additional delay it causes. If Respondent demonstrates to DEQ's reasonable satisfaction that the delay or deviation has been or will be caused by a Force Majeure, DEQ will extend the times for performance of the affected requirements in Section II, Paragraphs 3.a or 3.b, as appropriate, and such delays or deviations caused by a Force Majeure shall not constitute a violation of this MAO. Circumstances or events constituting Force Majeure might include, without limitation, acts of God, unforeseen strikes or work stoppages, unanticipated site conditions, fire, explosion, riot, sabotage, war, and delays in receiving a governmental approval or permit. Normal inclement weather, a consultant's failure to provide timely reports, increased cost of performance or changed business or economic circumstances may not be considered Force Majeure.

25 \\\

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 \\\\

27 \\\\

- 21. Respondent agrees to refrain from using the value of the Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEPs) described in Section II, Paragraph 2 below, as a tax deduction or as part of a tax credit application; and, whenever Respondent publicizes the SEPs or the results of the SEPs, Respondent will state in a prominent manner that the project was undertaken in connection with the settlement of a DEQ enforcement action. Approved SEPs will be incorporated into this MAO by amendment. Respondent will be deemed to have completed the SEPs when the DEQ Office of Compliance and Enforcement receives a final report documenting completion of the SEPs.
- 22. Facsimile, verifiable electronic, or scanned signatures on this MAO shall be treated the same as original signatures.
- 23. Payments made by Respondent to the State of Oregon pursuant to the terms of this MAO must be made by check or money order made payable to the "State Treasurer, State of Oregon" and sent to: DEQ Business Office at 700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite #600, Portland, OR 97232.
- 24. This MAO shall terminate when all of the requirements of Section II have been completed by Respondent. DEQ will send a written notice of termination to Respondent.

#### II. FINAL ORDER

The Environmental Quality Commission hereby enters a final order:

- 1. Imposing upon Respondent a total civil penalty of \$661,756 for the violations alleged in the Notice and as amended by this MAO, \$132,352 of which is due within 30 days of the MAO Effective Date and the remainder of which must be paid as provided in Paragraph 2 below.
- 2. Within 120 days of the MAO Effective Date, submit to DEQ one or more Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) application(s) that meet DEQ's SEP approval criteria and contribute no less than \$529,404 to one or more third party organizations to implement one or more SEPs that will provide air quality benefits in the vicinity of the Facility. Upon written request of Respondent made in advance of the SEP application deadline, DEQ will extend that deadline by 60 days if, despite Respondent's demonstrated diligent efforts, Respondent has not yet identified a third party organization(s) willing or capable of implementing a SEP(s) meeting DEQ's approval

application(s), Respondent must transmit payment of no less than \$529,404 to the third party organization(s) and provide DEQ with documentation of the transmittal(s). If, at the end of SEP application period, as may be extended, Respondent demonstrates to DEQ's reasonable satisfaction that any portion of the \$529,404 cannot be used on a SEP meeting DEQ's criteria, then DEQ will direct Respondent in writing to transmit payment of such remaining portion of the \$529,404 to the Requiring Respondent to comply with the following schedule and conditions: By June 30, 2022, Respondent must do one of the following: Submit to DEQ an administratively complete Notice of Approval application and permit modification application, including complete engineering specifications and vendor design, to install pollution control devices on Furnace D (GM4) (the PCD Application). Respondent's pollution controls proposal must reduce filterable PM emissions by at least 95 percent and ensure continuous compliance with the following limits that apply to Furnace D: 0.10 gr/dscf Total PM limit; 20% opacity limit; and the applicable NSPS subpart CC Filterable PM limit, 40 CFR 60.292 (0.1 g/kg or 0.2 lb/ton). Notify DEQ in writing that Furnace D has been permanently shut down and submit an application to DEQ to terminate coverage under the Permit. If Respondent does not notify DEQ by June 30, 2022 according to Section Starting with the month of July 2022 (submittal deadline August 12, 2022), submit monthly progress reports to DEQ until the pollution control devices are installed and operating. The monthly progress reports must be submitted within ten business days after the close of the previous calendar month

26

27

1	and must document progress on the engineering, permitting, purchasing, site		
2	preparation, construction and installation of the pollution control devices;		
3	ii. Within 3 months of DEQ's written approval of the PCD		
4	Application, execute a contract to purchase the pollution control devices with		
5	a vendor and submit a copy of the contract to DEQ;		
6	iii. Within 10 months of DEQ's written approval of the PCD		
7	Application, complete construction drawings and submit a copy to DEQ;		
8	iv. Within 13 months of DEQ's written approval of the PCD		
9	Application, begin on-site construction at the Facility to install the pollution		
10	control devices and submit written notification of the construction start date to		
11	DEQ;		
12	v. Within 18 months of DEQ's written approval of the PCD		
13	Application:		
14	(1) Complete the installation of the pollution control devices		
15	on Furnace D and submit a Notice of Completion to DEQ; and		
16	(2) Subject to DEQ's incorporation of changes in Respondent's		
17	Title V operating permit in response to the PCD Application, begin		
18	operating the pollution control devices on Furnace D in		
19	compliance with the modified Permit.		
20	vi. Within 90 days of installing and operating the pollution control		
21	devices according to Section II, Paragraph 3.b.v, complete source testing to		
22	evaluate compliance with the 0.10 gr/dscf Total PM limit and the applicable		
23	NSPS subpart CC Filterable PM limit, 40 CFR 60.292 (0.1 g/kg or 0.2 lb/ton)		
24	and to demonstrate that the control device achieves at least a 95 percent		
25	filterable PM reduction efficiency (mass basis). To enable testing, Responden		
26	shall design and install, in accordance with EPA Method 1, accessible		
27	sampling ports at the inlet and the outlet to the control device.		

From the MAO Effective Date until Respondent notifies DEQ according to

1

c.

1		OWENS-BROCKWAY GLASS CONTAINER, INC. (RESPONDENT)
2		A
3	10/21/2021	1 mf
4	Date	Signature Contors
5		Name (print)
6		Maragina Director O-I Americas Morth
7		
8		DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY and
9		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION
10		
11	16/22/2021	Km M le
12	Date	Kieran O'Donnell, Manager
13		Office of Compliance and Enforcement on behalf of DEQ pursuant to OAR 340-012-0170
14		on behalf of the EQC pursuant to OAR 340-011-0505
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

#### AMENDED EXHIBIT 1

# FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

VIOLATION NO. 1 Exceeding the 0.10 gr/dscf Total PM emission limit for Furnace D,

in violation of Condition 14 of the Permit and ORS 468A.045(2).

CLASSIFICATION: This is a Class I violation pursuant to OAR 340-012-0054(1)(o).

MAGNITUDE: The magnitude of the violation is moderate pursuant to OAR 340-

012-0130(1), as there is no selected magnitude specified in OAR 340-012-0135 applicable to this violation, and the information reasonably available to DEQ does not indicate a minor or major

magnitude.

<u>CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA</u>: The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each

violation is:  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

"BP" is the base penalty, which is \$6,000 for a Class I, moderate magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(2)(b)(A)(ii) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(2)(a)(A) because Respondent has a Title V permit.

"P" is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions, as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and initially receives a value of 27 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(a)(C) and (D). Respondent has: 20 Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2009-204 issued April 5, 2010; 18 Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2011-092, issued on August 31, 2011; three Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2012-046 issued on October 1, 2012 and one Class II violation in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2013-068, which were combined into a single Mutual Agreement and Final Order that became final on July 23, 2013; two Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2019-016; one Class I and four Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2019-260, issued on January 24, 2020; and three Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2020-042, issued on March 19, 2020. According to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(b), this amount is reduced to 10 because the value of P will not exceed 10.

"H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c) because there is insufficient information on which to base a finding under paragraphs (3)(a) or (b).

"O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing, and receives a value of 0. According to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(e), DEQ will set the O factor at 0 when assessing separate penalties for each occurrence of the violation.

"M" is the mental state of the Respondent, and receives a value of 8 according to OAR 340-012-0145(5)(d) because Respondent acted or failed to act intentionally with actual knowledge of the requirement. As a permittee, Respondent is presumed to have knowledge of the requirements in the Permit, including emission limits. Respondent has actual knowledge that Furnace D has not been in continuous compliance with the Condition 14 Total PM emission limit since April 22, 2020. Respondent conducted a PM source test in May 2019, and passed due to rounding conventions (see Notice, footnote 1). In case no. AQ/V-NWR-2019-260, issued on January 24, 2020, DEQ notified Respondent that the same result (0.12 gr/dscf) would be a violation under Condition 14 of the Permit renewal issued on December 10, 2019, and ordered Respondent to retest. Respondent source tested Furnace D in June 2020 and August 2020 and reported exceedances of the 0.10 gr/dscf limit to DEQ in its source test reports, submitted on July 27, 2020 and October 2, 2020, respectively. Those exceedances were confirmed by DEO's source test review memos sent to Respondent on October 2, 2020 and November 19, 2020, respectively. The exceedances were also communicated to Respondent in a Pre-Enforcement Notice issued by DEQ on November 19, 2020. As of the date of the Notice, Respondent had not notified DEQ of any corrective actions taken to reduce Total Particulate Matter emissions from Furnace D and Respondent had not demonstrated a return to compliance with Condition 14 of the Permit. Thus, Respondent failed to act intentionally with actual knowledge of the requirement.

"C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation, and receives a value of -3 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(c) because Respondent took reasonable affirmative efforts to minimize the effects of the violation. Pursuant to Section II of the MAO, Respondent has agreed to comply with an interim opacity limit to mitigate violations of 0.10 gr/dscf Total PM limit in the Permit, and to install pollution controls on Furnace D as a permanent solution if Respondent decides to continue operating Furnace D past June 30, 2022.

## **GRAVITY BASED PENALTY CALCULATION:**

```
Penalty = BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)]

= \$6,000 + [(0.1 \times \$6,000) \times (10 + 0 + 0 + 8 + -3)]

= \$6,000 + (\$600 \times 15)

= \$6,000 + \$9,000

= \$15,000
```

In accordance with ORS 468.140(2), each day of violation constitutes a separate offense. DEQ is using its enforcement discretion to assess a separate civil penalty for each complete calendar quarter from April 22, 2020 through the date of the Notice, that Respondent exceeded the Condition 14 Total PM limit in the Permit. Therefore, DEQ is assessing a \$15,000 civil penalty for each of the four calendar quarters of the violation.

 $15,000 \times 4 \text{ occurrences} = 60,000$ 

## **ECONOMIC BENEFIT**

"EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of the Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of \$564,556. Of this total EB amount, \$72,618 is the amount Respondent gained by delaying spending an estimated \$625,715\* in capital costs to install pollution control devices to reduce PM emissions from Furnace D. These costs should have been incurred on or before April 22, 2020, and this estimate assumes that they would be incurred by June 30, 2022. \$491,938 is the amount Respondent gained by avoiding spending an estimated \$669,682 in operation and maintenance costs for the pollution controls between April 22, 2020 and June 30, 2022 (\$334,841\* per year for two years). This "EB" was calculated pursuant to OAR 340-012-0150(1) using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's BEN computer model.

\*Note: The capital cost and annual operating cost figures are from Respondent's Regional Haze Four Factor Analysis report submitted to DEQ on June 12, 2020 (PM Baghouse Costs for Furnace D). The EB calculation excludes cost of "Portland Beautification Option" from the capital cost estimate.

### **TOTAL PENALTY**

According to OAR 340-012-0045, the total civil penalty is the gravity based penalty of \$60,000 plus the economic benefit of \$564,556. Thus, the total civil penalty for Violation No. 1 is \$624,556

#### **AMENDED EXHIBIT 2**

## FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF RESPONDENT'S CIVIL PENALTY PURSUANT TO OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (OAR) 340-012-0045

<u>VIOLATION No. 2</u>: Respondent violated Condition 17 of the Permit and ORS

468A.045(2) by causing or allowing opacity levels from Furnace D to be equal to or greater than 20% opacity based on a six minute

average.

<u>CLASSIFICATION</u>: This is a Class II violation pursuant to OAR 340-012-0054(2)(d).

MAGNITUDE: The magnitude of the violation is major pursuant to OAR 340-012-

0135(1)(a)(A) because Respondent is a federal major source as

defined in OAR 340-200-0020.

CIVIL PENALTY FORMULA: The formula for determining the amount of penalty of each

violation is:  $BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB$ 

"BP" is the base penalty, which is \$6,000 for a Class II, major magnitude violation in the matrix listed in OAR 340-012-0140(2)(b)(B)(i) and applicable pursuant to OAR 340-012-0140(2)(a)(A) because Respondent has a Title V permit.

- "P" is whether Respondent has any prior significant actions, as defined in OAR 340-012-0030(19), in the same media as the violation at issue that occurred at a facility owned or operated by the same Respondent, and initially receives a value of 27 according to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(a)(C) and (D). Respondent has: 20 Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2009-204 issued April 5, 2010; 18 Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2011-092, issued on August 31, 2011; three Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2012-046 issued on October 1, 2012 and one Class II violation in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2013-068, which were combined into a single Mutual Agreement and Final Order that became final on July 23, 2013; two Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2019-016; one Class I and four Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2019-260, issued on January 24, 2020; and three Class II violations in case no. AQ/V-NWR-2020-042, issued on March 19, 2020. According to OAR 340-012-0145(2)(b), this amount is reduced to 10 because the value of P will not exceed 10.
- "H" is Respondent's history of correcting prior significant actions, and receives a value of 0 according to OAR 340-012-0145(3)(c) because there is insufficient information on which to base a finding under paragraphs (3)(a) or (b).
- "O" is whether the violation was repeated or ongoing, and receives a value of 0. According to OAR 340-012-0145(4)(e), DEQ will set the O factor at 0 when assessing separate penalties for each occurrence of the violation.

- "M" is the mental state of the Respondent, and receives a value of 8 according to OAR 340-012-0145(5)(d) because Respondent acted or failed to act intentionally with actual knowledge of the requirement. Respondent's Permit expressly prohibits opacity levels of 20% or greater based on a six minute average. As described in the Notice, Respondent's Facility has a history of 20% opacity violations at both furnaces dating back to at least 2009. Respondent has actual knowledge of the 20% opacity limit, and its history of violations because those violations have been addressed in formal enforcement as follows: case no. AQ/V-NWR-2009-204 (20 opacity violations); case no. AQ/V-NWR-2011-092 (18 opacity violations); case no. AQ/V-NWR-2012-046 (three opacity violations); case no. AQ/V-NWR-2013-068 (one opacity violation); case no. AQ/V-NWR-2019-016 (two opacity violations); case no. AO/V-NWR-2019-260 (two opacity violations); and case no. AO/V-NWR-2020-042 (one opacity violation). Like the opacity violations addressed by previous formal enforcement cases, the April 23, 2020 opacity violation described in the Notice was due to mechanical or process failures. By failing to take adequate corrective actions to address these mechanical or process failures or otherwise prevent ongoing intermittent opacity violations, despite a history of such violations and formal enforcement, Respondent failed to act intentionally with actual knowledge of the requirement.
- "C" is Respondent's efforts to correct or mitigate the violation, and receives a value of -3 according to OAR 340-012-0145(6)(c) because Respondent took reasonable affirmative efforts to minimize the effects of the violation. Pursuant to Section II of the MAO, Respondent has agreed to an interim opacity limit to mitigate violations of the 20% opacity limit in the Permit, and to install pollution controls on Furnace D as a permanent solution if Respondent decides to continue operating Furnace D past June 30, 2022.
- "EB" is the approximate dollar value of the benefit gained and the costs avoided or delayed as a result of the Respondent's noncompliance. It is designed to "level the playing field" by taking away any economic advantage the entity gained and to deter potential violators from deciding it is cheaper to violate and pay the penalty than to pay the costs of compliance. In this case, "EB" receives a value of \$564,556. Of this total EB amount, \$72,618 is the amount Respondent gained by delaying spending an estimated \$625,715\* in capital costs to install pollution control devices to reduce PM emissions and achieve consistent compliance with the 20% opacity limit for Furnace D. These costs should have been incurred on or before April 22, 2020, and this estimate assumes that they would be incurred by June 30, 2022. \$491,938 is the amount Respondent gained by avoiding spending an estimated \$669,682 in operation and maintenance costs for the pollution controls between April 22, 2020 and June 30, 2022 (\$334,841\* per year for two years). This "EB" was calculated pursuant to OAR 340-012-0150(1) using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's BEN computer model. This economic benefit is not included in the penalty calculation below because it is already captured in Amended Exhibit 1.\*\*

\*Note: The capital cost and annual operating cost figures are from Respondent's Regional Haze Four Factor Analysis report submitted to DEQ on June 12, 2020 (PM Baghouse Costs for Furnace D). The EB calculation excludes cost of "Portland Beautification Option" from the capital cost estimate.

```
<u>PENALTY CALCULATION</u>: Penalty = BP + [(0.1 \times BP) \times (P + H + O + M + C)] + EB = \$6,000 + [(0.1 \times \$6,000) \times (10 + 0 + 0 + 8 + -3)] + \$0** = \$6,000 + (\$600 \times 15) + \$0** = \$6,000 + \$9,000 + \$0** = \$15,000
```

Respondent has two Class II, major magnitude violations as described in Section II, Paragraphs 12-15 and Section III, Paragraph 2 of the Notice, which occurred on April 23, 2020 and March 13, 2021. DEQ is using its enforcement discretion to assess a separate civil penalty for each occurrence of the violation.

\$15,000 per violation x two occurrences of the violation equals a total civil penalty of \$30,000.