



State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Appendix C, Green Project Reserve: Energy Efficiency

Contact: [Project Officer](#)

Cite the categorical project number(s) that best correlate to the project objectives described in the Green Project Components section of your Clean Water State Revolving Fund loan application.

All projects or project components counted toward the GPR requirement must clearly advance one or more of the objectives articulated in the category discussed below.

Oregon DEQ is required to finance a certain percentage of projects that utilize green infrastructure, address water and energy efficiency, or implement other environmentally innovative activities. DEQ refers to this percentage as the Green Project Reserve.

3.0 ENERGY EFFICIENCY

3.1 Definition: Energy efficiency is the use of improved technologies and practices to reduce the energy consumption of water quality projects, use energy in a more efficient way, and/or produce/utilize renewable energy.

3.2 Categorical Projects

3.2-1 Renewable energy projects such as wind, solar, geothermal, micro-hydroelectric, and biogas combined heat and power systems (CHP) that provide power to a POTW.

(<http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy>). Micro-hydroelectric projects involve capturing the energy from pipe flow.

3.2-1a POTW owned renewable energy projects can be located onsite or offsite.

3.2-1b Includes the portion of a publicly owned renewable energy project that serves POTW's energy needs.

3.2-1c Must feed into the grid that the utility draws from and/or there is a direct connection.

3.2-2 Projects that achieve a 20% reduction in energy consumption are categorically eligible for GPR. Retrofit projects should compare energy used by the existing system or unit process to the proposed project. The energy used by the existing system should be based on name plate data when the system was first installed, recognizing that the old system is currently operating at a lower overall efficiency than at the time of installation. New POTW projects or capacity expansion projects should be designed to maximize energy efficiency and should select high efficiency premium motors and equipment where cost effective. Estimation of the energy efficiency is necessary for the project to be counted toward GPR. If a project achieves less than a 20% reduction in energy efficiency, then it may be justified using a business case.

3.2-3 Collection system Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) detection equipment

3.2-4 POTW energy management planning, including energy assessments, energy audits, optimization studies, and sub-metering of individual processes to determine high energy use areas, which are reasonably expected to result in a capital project are eligible. Guidance to help POTWs develop energy management programs, including assessments and audits is available at http://www.epa.gov/waterinfrastructure/pdfs/guidebook_si_energymangement.pdf.

3.3 Projects That Do Not Meet the Definition of Energy Efficiency

3.3-1 Renewable energy generation that is *privately* owned or the portion of a publicly owned renewable energy facility that does not provide power to a POTW, either through a connection to the grid that the utility draws from and/or a direct connection to the POTW.

3.3-2 Simply replacing a pump, or other piece of equipment, because it is at the end of its useful life, with something of average efficiency.

3.3-3 Facultative lagoons, even if integral to an innovative treatment process.

3.3-4 Hydroelectric facilities, except micro-hydroelectric projects. Micro-hydroelectric projects involve capturing the energy from pipe flow.

3.4 Decision Criteria for Business Cases

3.4-1 Project must be cost effective. An evaluation must identify energy savings and payback on capital and operation and maintenance costs that does not exceed the [useful life of the asset](#).

3.4-2 The business case must describe how the project maximizes energy saving opportunities for the POTW or unit process.

3.4-3 Using existing tools such as [Energy Star's Portfolio Manager](#) or [Check Up Program](#) for Small Systems to document current energy usage and track anticipated savings.

3.5 Examples of Projects Requiring a Business Case

3.5-1 POTW projects or unit process projects that achieve less than a 20% energy efficiency improvement.

3.5-2 Projects implementing recommendations from an energy audit that are not otherwise designated as categorical.

3.5-3 Projects that cost effectively eliminate pumps or pumping stations.

3.5-4 Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) correction projects that save energy from pumping and reduced treatment costs and are cost effective.

3.5-4a Projects that count toward GPR cannot build new structural capacity. These projects may, however, recover existing capacity by reducing flow from I/I.

3.5-5 I/I correction projects where excessive groundwater infiltration is contaminating the influent requiring otherwise unnecessary treatment processes (i.e. arsenic laden groundwater) and I/I correction is cost effective.

3.5-6 Replacing pre-Energy Policy Act of 1992 motors with National Electric Manufacturers Association (NEMA) premium energy efficiency motors.

3.5-6a [NEMA](#) is a standards setting association for the electrical manufacturing industry.

3.5-7 Upgrade of POTW lighting to energy efficient sources such as metal halide pulse start technologies, compact fluorescent, light emitting diode (LED).

3.5-8 SCADA systems can be justified based upon substantial energy savings.

3.5-9 Variable Frequency Drive can be justified based upon substantial energy savings.

Alternative formats

DEQ can provide documents in an alternate format or in a language other than English upon request. Call DEQ at 800-452-4011 or email deqinfo@deq.state.or.us.