



---

# Oregon Youth Authority Demand Forecast

---

April 2022

## **Background**

The Office of Economic Analysis produces the semi-annual Juvenile Corrections Population Forecast, which provides projections for close custody and community placement beds for the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA). Oregon Revised Statute 420.085 directs the Department of Administrative Services and the Juvenile Corrections Population Forecasting Advisory Committee to produce the forecast. The forecast is mandated to estimate monthly populations over a ten-year period and is due April 15 and October 15 of each year. OYA incorporates the forecast as one element for planning and budgeting.

The forecast is for close custody beds (incarcerated youths) and OYA community placements. The close custody population is composed of three groups: the Public Safety Reserve (PSR), Department of Corrections (DOC) offenders who are housed by OYA up to age 25, and the discretionary close custody (DCC) population. The PSR and DOC offenders represent the portion of OYA's close custody population for which incarceration is mandatory. The remaining bed space is for DCC and is occupied by youths committed to a youth correctional facility after a determination by a judge that the youth be placed in a close custody facility, and a length of stay in a facility is not set as OYA has parole authority over this population. In addition, the forecast includes projections for community placement beds.

The forecast advisory committee is comprised of individuals with knowledge of the juvenile justice system. It meets prior to each forecast to discuss issues and trends related to the system and how they could affect the forecast. The committee also defines the demand measure used for the discretionary close custody and community placement populations.

### **Juvenile Corrections Population Forecasting Advisory Committee**

Torri Lynn (Chair)  
Debra Patterson  
Joe O'Leary  
Michelle Inderbitzen  
Judge Lindsay Partridge  
Lynne Schroeder

Linn County Juvenile Department  
Crook County Juvenile Department  
Oregon Youth Authority  
Oregon State University  
Marion County Juvenile Court  
Washington County Juvenile Department

# Juvenile Crime Information

## Information Sources

There are a number of sources for information concerning juvenile crime. The forecast analysis relies primarily on the Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS). This data system maintains information on juvenile referrals in Oregon and juveniles supervised by OYA and county juvenile departments. It provides the most complete and timely source of juvenile crime data for Oregon.

The advisory committee meets before each forecast and provides information related to factors driving trends, changes in judicial system processes, and identification of things which may impact the forecast but do not yet show up in statistical data.


Additionally, national data and research in juvenile crime are surveyed prior to each forecast. Although national level research and statistics are based on data that is typically several years old, it is valuable in understanding trends seen in Oregon in comparison to national trends.

## National Data and Trends

In general, national juvenile justice trends are reflected in Oregon specific data. National juvenile crime and delinquency trends generally indicate a substantial decrease in juvenile crime from the mid 1990's through the mid-2000's, followed by a modest increase associated with the financial crisis of 2008. Rates have resumed falling through the latest data.

The charts below display different measures of nationwide juvenile crime/delinquency based on arrests, court cases, and survey data. They indicate that serious juvenile crime/delinquency at the national level peaked in the mid 1990's, dropped substantially from then through the early 2000's, remained relatively stable since the mid 2000's and has dropped in the last three years that data are available.

The FBI Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program provides the number of arrests by age and crime type. The Violent Crime Index and Property Crime Index are standardized measures commonly used to




characterize crime rates for those categories<sup>1</sup>.

Juvenile court case statistics provide another measure of juvenile crime. Adjudicated cases, specifically those resulting in a facility placement, also serve as measures of relative demand for juvenile correctional services. Those trends (chart right) peaked in the mid 1990's, then fell gradually, leveling off in the mid-2000s at a level about 20 percent below the peak<sup>2</sup>. Over the last twelve years there has been a marked drop. Compared with charts that are calculated as a ratio of a certain number of youths, this graph does not adjust for population growth and therefore the declines are even more meaningful.

Serious violent crimes perpetrated by youths aged 12 to 17, based on survey data, have declined dramatically from peak levels in the 1990's<sup>3 4</sup>. In 2015, the serious violent crime offending rate was 7.6 crimes per 1,000 juveniles ages 12-17. This is a large drop from the peak rate of 52 per 1,000 in 1993. As compared to the Violent Crime Index (above), which is based on law enforcement agency reports of arrests, this indicator assesses crime reported by victims when surveyed. As such, it is believed to capture more total crime since it does not depend on any interaction with, or success of, the criminal justice system.

Underlying much national criminal justice research and juvenile criminality are data from the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program and U.S. Census Bureau's surveys of criminal justice agencies. Below is a listing of agencies which maintain references to national level data.

- Bureau of Justice Statistics
- Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention



<sup>1</sup>Internet Citation: OJJDP Statistical Briefing Book. Online. Available: [https://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/crime/excel/JAR\\_2019.xls](https://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/crime/excel/JAR_2019.xls). March 28, 2022

<sup>2</sup> Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. Juvenile Court Statistics. <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezajcs/>


<sup>3</sup> Bureau of Justice Statistics. National Criminal Victimization Survey. <http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov>

<sup>4</sup> America's Children in Brief: Key National Indicators of Well-Being, 2010. <http://childstats.gov/americaschildren/index.asp>

- National Juvenile Court Data Archive
- National Criminal Justice Reference Service
- National Archive of Criminal Justice Data
- Forum on Child and Family Statistics (general source for national data on children)

## Oregon Data from the Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS)

Reports from national data are not available for the most recent years and they generally lack sufficient detail to use directly in the forecast. Oregon's JJIS data system, in contrast, provides juvenile justice information from 1996 to the current day in considerable detail. The data system is used at both the county and the state level. Of interest in forecasting, it tracks individual events for each youth such as dates and offenses for referrals to county juvenile departments, dispositions ordered by a court, placement information for custody and supervision episodes, and risk assessment details. Informal events or dispositions are often not recorded. An example might be a court requirement for a youth to write an essay.



Referrals to Oregon county juvenile departments are the primary source for assessing overall juvenile criminality for the forecast. Youths are referred by law enforcement. In general, a referral is analogous to an arrest for a crime in the adult criminal justice system. Detail data on individual referrals is available going back through 1996, and is generally considered to be consistent over time in the way actual events are characterized in the data. The referral data are used for the forecast in establishing juvenile crime trends. For each referral, the data captures the youth's identity and a variety of characteristics including date of referral, age, gender, race, and offense information such as the statute violated, OYA's 19 point severity classification for the offense, and crime class such as "A Felony" or "B Misdemeanor".

## Crime Trends from JJIS Referral Data

Juvenile crime, measured by the number of referrals, has dropped significantly in Oregon since the mid 1990's. In 1996, there were approximately 10,400 referrals for felonies. By 2013, that number had dropped to 2,658, a 74 percent reduction (over the same period, the total number of juveniles in Oregon age 12 to 17 increased about 4.6 percent). Similarly, though less dramatic, the number of misdemeanor referrals over the same period declined by 49 percent. For both felony and misdemeanor referrals, reductions were relatively rapid from 1998 to 2002, gradual from 2003 to 2007, and rapid again into 2013. Total referrals have been stable from 2014 to 2019, but the Covid-19 pandemic has resulted in a significant drop for 2020 and again in 2021. However, 2021 is a tale of two halves as misdemeanors and C felonies both ticked upward in the second half.

The general reduction in crime rates is not specific to Oregon or to the juvenile population. Declines in crime rates have been observed nationwide. Although the reduction in juvenile crime is a national phenomenon and much research has been devoted to analyzing the reasons for the decline, there is no

single widely accepted explanation for the reduction. Various sources discuss theories related to race, gender, smart policing and curfew enforcement, weapon laws, drug use, gang activity, economic factors, social factors, geographic factors, environmental factors, etc. Most reports provide analyses that demonstrate significant declines across various categories, but fail to draw satisfying conclusions as to the underlying causes. This suggests the reduction is a general societal change.


# Population Size, Trends and Forecast Tracking

## Population Size

As describe in more detail on page 14, Senate Bill 1008 has the potential to alter dramatically the magnitudes of the three Close Custody populations discussed and projected in this report. The Public Safety Reserve (PSR) population stayed relatively constant at about 200 from 1996 to 2005. From 2005 until early 2019 this population fell sharply. Since then, and particularly following the passage of SB 1008, the PSR population have risen steadily. To respond to SB 1008, OYA adjusted the PSR policy to ensure that it captured all Measure 11 crimes and any crime that resulted in loss of life. This adjustment will shift most DOC to PSR.


The Department of Corrections (DOC) population increased rapidly from 1996 through 1999 to roughly 300. The rapid increase was due to Measure 11, which made incarceration mandatory for serious violent crimes. It remained near 300 through 2006, and then gradually increased through 2008 to exceed 390 in April 2009. Since then, the DOC count has declined steadily, and the rate of decline has accelerated since the passage of SB 1008 as more of these youth are classified as PSR. The March 1 DOC population is 91, significantly below the year-ago level.

Prior to January 2003, the Discretionary Close Custody (DCC) population size was generally around 600. In January 2003, budget cuts significantly reduced the availability of DCC beds. In the first months of 2003, several hundred DCC youths were released on parole sooner than normal to achieve the reduction. While within the range of historical variation since 2011, the decline during 2020 is likely due to the Covid-19 pandemic. The population numbered 272 on March 1, well above the year-ago level.



## Prior Forecast Tracking

The chart at the right assesses the accuracy of the previous forecast for the close custody population. The actual population fell significantly below the forecast for the first five months, but then exceeded the forecast on March 1. This was due as much to a downward trajectory in the forecast as an uptick in the close custody population. The average forecast error was a negative 18 beds. Most of the error was observed in the male discretionary bed allocation, particularly those youth in on a new crime.





# Forecast Methodology

## General Discussion

The nature of the forecast was changed substantially for the April 2021 cycle. Oregon Revised Statute 420.085 states that “the forecast shall also include an estimate of the demand for beds as defined by the Juvenile Corrections Population Forecast Advisory Committee”. As a result, direct projections for the actual number of beds used, both for close custody and community placement, have been made for all subgroupings. In addition, the methodology for forecasting those beds, outlined below, has changed as well.


## Forecasts for Actual Beds Used

The methodology for projecting the actual number of beds for all three populations (DOC, PSR, and DCC) is a “flow” model analogous to what demographers use to project population sizes and growth. The governing equation is as follows:

$$\text{Youth}_T = \text{Youth}_{T-1} + \text{Admission}_T - \text{Releases}_T$$


Where time T is the month being forecasted. For example, the number of youth in beds on April 1 will equal the number of youth in beds on March 1, plus admissions during the month of March and minus releases during the month of March.

The model has three distinct components. The first is a census of the existing population and some estimate for when they will be released. The following graph illustrated the close custody population at a given point in time and how they are projected to release from close custody over the next few years.




**Graph 1**

The second component is a forecast for the number of intakes (admissions) that will occur each month for the next ten years. This is generated using historical relationships and trends, and takes into account predictable changes such as those described earlier for Senate Bill 1008. The following graph shows the intake forecast aggregated across all sub-populations.



**Graph 2**

Finally, a release profile must be generated for each intake cohort to simulate when they will release from custody. The following release profile is computed using the lengths-of-stay exhibited by youth admitted to close custody from 2012 to 2016. This time period is selected so as not to truncate the longer stays.



**Graph 3**

These three components combine to create a forecasting model that can be used at any level of granularity. The eight sub-populations projected for the close custody population are DOC-Males, DOC-Females, PSR-Males, PSR-Females, DCC-Males-New Crime, DCC-Males-Revocation, DCC-Females-New Crime, and DCC-Females-Revocation. The DOC and PSR populations are not disaggregated by New Crime and Revocation due to the small number of revocations that occur.

However, should PSR revocations increase sufficiently in the future, this population may be disaggregated by New Crime and Revocation intakes.

## **Demand for Beds**


Currently, every youth committed to the Oregon Youth Authority is assigned a bed. Thus, the demand for beds, which has been construed to mean the number of youth that “should” be served, equals the actual population. Additionally, the Oregon Youth Authority is engaging in community conversations to update and revise the Youth Reformation System (YRS) initiative. As such, Predicted Success Rates and other models are actively being reviewed and updates/changes are anticipated. As such, the committee felt that continuing to employ the associated model for deriving the demand forecasts for discretionary close custody and residential placements was no longer appropriate.

## **Forecast**

Currently, there are two major factors causing disruption in the OYA system. As discussed in greater detail on page 14, Senate Bill 1008 (2019) will likely cause changes in the distribution of youth across the various close custody bed types. Coincidentally, the Covid-19 pandemic is having a discernible, but not yet entirely known, impact on the number of OYA placements. This forecast assumes the intakes to close custody, which have been significantly below normal throughout the pandemic, approach pre-pandemic norms by Spring 2023.


Graph 4 characterizes the forecast for the actual number of close custody beds used by bed type. The DOC population is expected to fall steadily for the next few years as Senate Bill 1008 causes a large number of formerly DOC youth to be classified as PSR. Thus the PSR population is expected to rise commensurately. The Discretionary (DCC) population is expected to remain stable. The overall close custody forecast calls for the population to fluctuate between 400 and 460 beds over the ten-year forecast horizon.

Note: This document forecasts of the number of youth who will occupy a close custody or residential bed. This is different than characterizing the number of beds that the OYA would need to administer these youth, for a few reasons. First, the demand for both close custody and community placement are not static numbers. Due to the turnover that takes place from forecast to forecast, these numbers vary significantly over time. Necessary capacity needs to account for this variation. Secondly, an additional buffer in close custody and community placement is needed such that incoming youth can be placed in the right type of bed. **The forecast does not account for these buffer beds.** As such, sufficient capacity for both close custody and community placement from a budgetary and operation standpoint may exceed the current demand estimates presented in this document.



**Graph 4**

The residential (community placement) forecast is characterized in Graph 5. The forecast assumes that the population gradually rises to a level similar to where it was prior to the pandemic, and then holds steady for the remainder of the forecast horizon. The committee discussed the fact that the shift in youth from the DOC to the PSR designation will increase those youth eligible for residential treatment. This has the potential to impact the forecast in coming cycles.



**Graph 5**

## Forecast Risks

The forecast assumes that current laws and current criminal justice practices continue as they have in the past. It also assumes trends in juvenile criminal activity continue and that demographics follow expected trends. If those and other assumptions fail, the forecast is at risk. An additional general risk is associated with the prevalence and success of the juvenile justice system in deterring juvenile crime. The forecast does not assume changes in those programs or practices.

### **Additional specific risks include the following:**

*Senate Bill 1008 (2019).* The single greatest risk to the forecast presented herein is the passage of Senate Bill 1008 by the 2019 Legislative Assembly. Among a variety of modifications, the bill changes the criteria for determining that a person charged with a criminal offense is a youth offender under the law and could result in more youth offenders being supervised by county juvenile departments and the Oregon Youth Authority. Additionally, and perhaps more importantly, the legislation dramatically alters how youth between the ages of 15 and 17 who are charged with Measure 11 offenses are processed and supervised. Previously, these youth were waived to adult court, and if convicted were sentenced to a Department of Corrections prison term but transferred to Oregon Youth Authority custody until their 25<sup>th</sup> birthday. The state must now file a waiver to get a case moved to adult court, and thus not all cases are guaranteed to be tried in adult court. At first blush, this could alter significantly the relative sizes of the DOC versus PSR populations. While a shift is already evident in the early data, the true long-run impact will not be known for a couple more years. As more data are employed in the model, adjustments will be made to account for this impact.

*Criminal Trends.* Juvenile crime rates have dropped significantly since the late 1990's. The forecast assumes that the lower rates will continue. If the juvenile crime rates rebound to levels of the mid-1990's, the need for juvenile corrections resources could increase dramatically.

*Budgetary restrictions.* Over the next several years budget levels for law enforcement, criminal justice courts, education, and juvenile programs will remain depressed, particularly at the county level. These cuts could impact the juvenile crime rate, juvenile crime prosecutions, and the number and length of placements in close custody in ways that are difficult to predict.

*County Resources and Practices.* The forecast does not examine the interaction between county funding levels and demand for OYA services but recognizes that an interaction may exist. In some sense, OYA serves as a backstop when there is a lack of county diversionary resources, and if county resources change there could be an impact in the need for OYA services. In addition, use of OYA resources reflects decisions made at the county level. Systematic change in these practices would impact the forecast for OYA resources.

*General Economic Conditions.* While the impact of the economy on crime is not clear, it stands to reason that those with the least job skills will be impacted disproportionately when the economy is weak. Many juveniles fall into this category. As a result, depending on the degree to which juveniles will face limited job opportunities and turn to criminal activities, the forecast could understate demand.

## **Forecast Values**

A more detailed spreadsheet is available in Excel spreadsheet format from the Office of Economic Analysis web site.

<http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/OEA/oya.shtml>