



Oregon Board of Parole

& POST-PRISON SUPERVISION

Board Business Meeting Minutes Tuesday, May 29, 2012

Call to Order and Note of Attendance: The meeting was called to order at 9:33 a.m., and note of attendance made: Aaron Felton, Jay Scroggin, Jeremiah Stromberg, and Candace Wheeler. No guests in attendance. Excused from the meeting: DOC Community Corrections Chief Mark Cadotte and DOC Director Collette Peters.

Meeting Minutes:

- Minutes from March 27, 2012 meeting reviewed, edits suggested. Felton made motion to approve the minutes as amended, Stromberg seconded. Passed.
- Minutes from April 30, 2012 meeting reviewed, edits suggested. Stromberg motioned to approve minutes as amended, Felton seconded. Passed.

Chairperson Comments:

- The Public Defender's Office is having all of their attorneys work on Parole Board cases. Wheeler and Felton were invited to teach about the Parole Board as a whole to the Oregon Public Defender's Office. They presented for about an hour then stuck around for Q&A. It was a great opportunity and Felton appreciated Wheeler's help on this.
- Felton advised he is leaving at the end of this year to take his new position as the Polk County District Attorney. Wheeler suggested the Board start preparing for this transition.

Executive Director's Update:

- Stromberg and Scroggin attended the Oregon Association of Community Corrections Directors (OACCD) meeting in Deschutes County, met with staff at Deer Ridge, and then met with Deschutes County PO's. All meetings went well. They were able to clarify concerns around the new special condition #10 condition change.
- Budget bidding form is due June 1st. Scroggin and Harnden have been meeting with Budget Analyst Manager and DOC budget personnel. Scroggin and Felton are scheduled to give presentation to the performance team June 19.
- The meeting with Superintendent Jeff Premo, regarding the wireless router, was cancelled but will be rescheduled as soon as both schedules will allow. The new switch from DOC is smaller and seems to be working at both institutions.
- Wanted to give thanks to Shawna Harnden for doing great job with the scheduling of the Association of Paroling Authorities International (APAI) trip and all of her other duties

that she is doing. She is training Julie Mills, the new Revocation Specialist, in addition to taking on the executive duties that have been given to her and still finds time to review and vote hundreds of sanctions each week. Hopefully by July she will be able to focus on being just the Administrative Assistant with the other duties going back to appropriate staff.

DOC Update:

- Excused from meeting.

Old Business:

- Static 99R: Still waiting to hear back on the update from last month as to possible schedule.
- Division 60, section 11 & 16 – sections that include reference to the Static 99R assessment are still pending.

New Business:

- Stromberg and Wheeler advised the APAI training in Florida was excellent. It allowed them to develop relationships and evaluate how Oregon compares to other states' processes.
- Both Stromberg and Wheeler want to put several of the components they learned on the table for future Board Business Meetings, including motivational interviewing, the use of technology, and the continuance of participating in future trainings as they come available. Scroggin suggested scheduling a meeting for the four of them to collaborate on the topics and to prioritize the items. Felton suggested either discussing at the June meeting or to schedule a separate meeting and set 6 month goals.
- Police & Fire for the Board: Scroggin met with Joe O'Leary to discuss the possibility of getting Police & Fire (P&F) for the Board members and select staff. Joe O'Leary was involved in the 2003 and 2007 attempts to get the Board P&F. In 2003, PERS did a reform making it harder to get approved for this benefit. PERS specifically uses new language that was adopted in 2009. Based on this new language, the Board does not qualify for this benefit.
- Sex offender package B: The Board has had ongoing discussions with the Sex Offender Supervision Network (SOSN) regarding clarity of special condition #10. They were interested in similar avenues for the sex offender package B, not in changing the conditions but in who gets this condition and who doesn't. SOSN was tasked to come up with proposals what they think is fair that all 36 counties can work with. SOSN presented and voted on two options. Scroggin advised the SOSN group that he would bring this topic to the next business meeting to discuss but made it clear that no decision has been made as of yet. The concern that brought this issue forward was whether or not the package B was necessary for certain offenders. Scroggin will take to the Oregon Department of Justice and OACCD to get feedback from both of these groups and will bring to the August Board Business Meeting for further discussion.

- OPTION 1 – 26 votes (63%): Remove package B completely, and allow general condition #13/special condition #10 to cover any assessment or imposition of special conditions (Per PO), based on criminal history, facts of offense, and PO’s knowledge of offender.
- OPTION 2 – 15 votes (36.5%): If offender was previously convicted of a sex offense under ORS 163.305 to 163.467, or was previously convicted in another jurisdiction of an offense that would constitute a sex offense under ORS 163.305 to 163.467 if committed in this state, and if recommended by the supervising officer, offender may be evaluated for, and successfully complete a sex offender treatment program approved by the supervising officer, and submit to polygraph, plethysmograph or other examinations at the direction of the supervising officer. The offender shall abide by all rules and conditions of the sex offender treatment program. (In conjunction with special condition #10)
- Crime Victim Law Center brought up a concern regarding the timeframe for materials submitted to the Board. Their concern is why the victims have to submit documentation to the Board 14 days prior to the hearing but the offender can submit within the 14 days, not allowing the victims to see or check the validity of the plan. Scroggin advised that the webpage advises 14 days. Felton advised that rule states it to be 7 days. Stromberg clarified that rule does not have anything for a timeline from the victim, only the offender. All agreed the webpage needs to be changed to reflect the rule. Felton advised he wanted to go through the rules to get clarification.

Future Board Meetings:

Monday, July 16, 2012 @ 10:00 a.m.

Monday, August 20, 2012 @ 10:00 a.m.

Monday, September 17, 2012 @ 10:00 a.m.

Meeting adjourned at 11:04 a.m.