

Table of Contents

SECTION C		CERTIFIED AGENCY. 2
Chapter 9	General Design Requirements	2
A. OVERVIEW		2
B. PAVEMENTS		3
C. VALUE ENGINEERING		3
D. NEW CONSTRUCTION/RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (4-R).....		3
1. Roadside Inventory		4
2. Pavement Type Determination		4
3. Structural Design		4
4. Roadway Geometrics		4
5. Construction Specifications		4
6. Traffic Control		4
7. Clear Zone.....		5
8. Geometric Cross-Section		5
9. Vertical Clearance.....		5
10. Bridge Approach Railings.....		5
11. Bridge Railings		5
12. Illumination.....		6
13. Pedestrian Facilities		6
14. Bicycle Facilities.....		6
15. Sidewalks and Ramps		6
16. Drainage and Hydraulic Design.....		6
E. RESURFACING, RESTORATION AND REHABILITATION (3-R) PROJECTS ..		6
1. Roadside Inventory		7
2. Superelevation.....		7
3. Pavement.....		7
4. Geometric Cross-Section		7
5. Alignment		7
6. Curvature.....		8
7. Grades		8
8. Clear Zone.....		8
F. GEOMETRIC DESIGN OF VERY LOW-VOLUME LOCAL ROADS (ADT < 400)		8
.....		8
G. DEVIATIONS		9
H. PROJECT MILESTONES.....		9
1. Design Acceptance.....		9
2. PS&E Acceptance Date		10
3. Notice to Proceed (NTP) Date		10

SECTION C


CERTIFIED AGENCY

Chapter 9 General Design Requirements

This chapter and the following two chapters of the Certified Agency Section of this *LAG Manual* relate to the design phase of FHWA funded projects:

- Chapter 9, General Design Requirements;
- Chapter 10, Design Approval;
- Chapter 11, Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E).

In the sequence of project delivery, design occurs after project development, but prior to bid and award.



A. OVERVIEW

This chapter covers the design phase and standards for FHWA funded projects on non-national highway system routes. For federally funded projects on the local agency transportation system, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) guidelines have been adopted as the design standard. Design Standards for projects on National Highway System (NHS) routes and the state highway system must conform to the requirements detailed in ODOT's current [Highway Design Manual](#).

The approving agency identified for the various phases of work is indicated in the Approval Authority Matrix in the Appendix for Chapter 2, Section A of this *LAG Manual*.

Different standards apply to the design of:

- New Construction / Reconstruction (4-R) projects;
- Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (3-R) projects; and
- Low-volume local agency roadways, ADTs (Average Daily Traffic) of less than 400.

Each of these standards is defined in separate sections below. Local agencies should determine which standards apply before beginning their project design.

For FHWA funded projects, current AASHTO standards apply based on the functional classification of the roadway. Additional classification information is available on ODOT's

[“Federal Functional Classification”](#) website. Generally context sensitive design concepts should be considered for all project designs. Additional information related to context sensitive design can be found at the [Oregon Transportation and Growth Management](#) website.

B. PAVEMENTS

To be eligible for federal funding, pavements shall be designed to provide a service life of 20 years for new or reconstructed pavements and 15 years for rehabilitated pavements. Any departure from these service life requirements will be considered a design deviation. See the “Deviations” Section below for additional details on the design exception process. Refer to ODOT’s [Pavement Design Guide](#) for additional information.

C. VALUE ENGINEERING

FHWA defines [Value Engineering](#) as "the systematic application of recognized techniques by a multi-disciplined team which identifies the function of a product or service; establishes a worth for that function; generates alternatives through the use of creative thinking; and provides the needed functions, reliably, at the lowest overall cost."

It is encouraged that value engineering be used, as needed, throughout project development, construction, operation and maintenance. Value engineering analysis should be considered on high cost and major projects or where its use has a high potential for public benefit. The need for value engineering should be determined on a project-by-project basis. See Section C, Chapter 10, Design Approval, for additional value engineering information.

D. NEW CONSTRUCTION/RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (4-R)

A reconstruction project is designed to meet the minimum design standards for new construction for the functional class. Reconstruction includes significant changes in cross section or shifts in both vertical and horizontal alignment. If 50 percent or more of the project length involves vertical or horizontal alignment changes, the project will be considered reconstruction. Reconstruction may require acquisition of additional right of way, and may include all items of work usually associated with new construction, including items “1” through “16” below. New Construction/Reconstruction (4-R) projects on routes under State jurisdiction shall be designed to the 4-R standards found in ODOT’s [Highway Design Manual](#).

Projects on other routes shall be designed to the standards found in the current version of AASHTO’s *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*. As noted above, AASHTO manuals can be purchased at the [AASHTO Bookstore](#) website. If it is not clear which standard should be used, contact the [Regional Local Agency Liaison](#). It is important that the standards chosen are appropriate for the functional classification of the road in question.

1. Roadside Inventory

A Roadside Inventory (see ODOT's [Highway Design Manual](#)) is an integral part of all projects and should include:

- a. upgrading existing substandard roadway design elements;
- b. improving existing operational features;
- c. reducing the potential hazard of existing roadside features; and
- d. upgrading bridge safety features.

2. Pavement Type Determination

ODOT must approve all pavement designs for local agency projects on the State Highway System. The determination of pavement type is of major importance in the development of plans for any urban street and road paving improvement.

Refer to ODOT's [Pavement Design Guide](#) for additional information.

3. Structural Design

Design procedures shall conform to AASHTO's Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) methodology. The AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* can be purchased at the [AASHTO Bookstore](#) website. Bridge deck protection is required for all FHWA funded bridge construction. The recommended protective systems are outlined in ODOT's [Bridge Design/Drafting Manual](#).

4. Roadway Geometrics

Designs shall be based upon accepted engineering practices and the requirements listed in this *LAG Manual*.

5. Construction Specifications

Unless otherwise agreed upon, all federally funded, certified local agency projects shall be constructed in conformance with the current edition of the [Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction](#). See Section C, Chapter 11, PS&E.

6. Traffic Control

All traffic control devices on the State Highway system shall conform to the [Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices](#) and [Oregon Supplement to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices](#). Traffic control poles and foundations should be checked by a structural engineer or responsible manufacturer.

7. Clear Zone

The clear zone is the roadside border area starting at the edge of the travel lane that is available for safe use by errant vehicles. See Chapter 3 of ODOT's [Highway Design Manual](#) for further clear zone discussion.

Refer to the *AASHTO Roadside Design Guide* for criteria for establishing clear zone distances, discussions of roadside features, and data on roadside barriers. If the guidelines in the *Roadside Design Guide* are not followed, the deviation process outlined in the “[Deviations](#)” Section below shall be followed. The *AASHTO Roadside Design Guide* is available at the [AASHTO Bookstore](#).

8. Geometric Cross-Section

It is desirable that all new construction provide embankment slopes and ditch foreslopes of 1:4 or flatter. Embankment slopes of 1:3 or steeper may be used when achieving flatter slopes has been demonstrated to be impractical. Reference Chapter 3 in ODOT's [Highway Design Manual](#).

9. Verticle Clearance

Refer to the appropriate section of AASHTO's *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets* for the required vertical clearance for each functional classification of roadway. The *AASHTO Roadside Design Guide* is available at the [AASHTO Bookstore](#).

As noted on page 135 of the [Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan](#), the standard vertical clearance of structures above multi-use paths and sidewalks is 10 feet and under some circumstances, a minimum of eight feet may be allowed.

10. Bridge Approach Railings

Approach guardrail is required at all bridge ends and shall be made structurally continuous with the bridge railing.

Guardrail layouts have been developed for use when an intersecting roadway or private approach exists within the limit of the standard bridge approach guardrail. See ODOT's [Standard Drawings and Standard Details](#) website for additional information.

11. Bridge Railings

Only bridge rail designs that have been successfully crash tested (or their equivalents) shall be used on federally funded new construction or reconstruction projects. ODOT's [Bridge Design/Drafting Manual](#) contains guidelines and performance levels for bridge railing along with examples of bridge rail designs that have been crash tested.

12. Illumination

Consider roadway illumination for high activity pedestrian areas (bus stops, crosswalks, etc.), locations with a high number of night-time accidents, interchanges, etc. Low energy consumption designs should be considered as the maintenance and operation costs of illumination systems may exceed the installation costs. Lighting levels should be designed according to Illuminating Engineering Society Standards. Light poles and foundations should be checked by a structural engineer or responsible manufacturer.

13. Pedestrian Facilities

In urban areas, sidewalks are desirable on both sides of the street. As stated in the [Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan](#) the standard sidewalk width is six feet exclusive of curb and obstructions.

14. Bicycle Facilities

The [Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan](#) establishes bikeway design and construction standards, establishes guidelines for traffic control devices on bikeways and recommends illumination standards. Bikeways should be incorporated into projects where appropriate. See ODOT's [Bicycle/Pedestrian](#) website for information related to bicycle facility laws, standards, grants, contacts, etc.

15. Sidewalks and Ramps

All sidewalks, ramps and driveways shall meet the accessibility requirements of the [Americans with Disabilities Act](#). Reference ODOT's [Standard Drawings](#) for additional guidance.

16. Drainage and Hydraulic Design

Refer to ODOT's [Hydraulics Manual](#) or other ODOT approved manuals.

E. RESURFACING, RESTORATION AND REHABILITATION (3-R) PROJECTS

3-R projects on routes under State jurisdiction shall be designed to ODOT 3R standards found in ODOT's [Highway Design Manual](#). 3-R projects on other routes may be designed to ODOT 3-R or AASHTO standards. Care should be taken to ensure that the standards chosen are appropriate for the functional classification of the road in question. Additional design guidance for 3-R projects may be found in Transportation Research Board (TRB) [Special Report #214](#).

1. Roadside Inventory

A Roadside Inventory (see ODOT's [Highway Design Manual](#)) is an integral part of all projects and should include:

- a. upgrading existing substandard roadway design elements;
- b. improving existing operational features;
- c. reducing the potential hazard of existing roadside features; and
- d. upgrading bridge safety features.

2. Superelevation

In order to provide the same degree of safety and comfort on 3-R projects as on any other project, superelevation, including transitions, should be provided. AASHTO's, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, Chapter III contains in-depth discussions on pavement cross slope and superelvation selection.

Rebuilding horizontal curves to larger radii and appropriate superelevation should always be considered, especially when accident data indicate that a problem exists.

In addition to rural roads and streets, urban arterial streets and roads should be considered for superelevation, within the constraints imposed by adjacent development, curbs, sidewalks, weather, and other conditions.

3. Pavement

Pavement design should use the minimum depth practical to achieve a structural section capable of carrying projected loads over the design period. Refer to ODOT's [Pavement Design Guide](#) for complete information.

4. Geometric Cross-Section

Fill and cut slopes may be affected as a result of proposed work on roadway and shoulder surfaces. Refer to the *AASHTO Roadside Design Guide* for guidance in appropriate slope selection.

5. Alignment

Alignment improvements should be undertaken in cases where the number of accidents has been high and where previously installed warning signs, markings, or other devices have not proven effective.

6. Curvaure

If the calculated design speed for a particular horizontal or vertical curve is less than 15 mph below the designated speed limit of the adjacent sections, and the location is not an identified high accident location, proper signs and markings informing drivers of the condition may be used in lieu of reconstruction to meet standards for the assumed design speed. When the difference is 15 mph or more, or when the design speed of the horizontal or vertical curve is less than 20 mph, corrective action should be undertaken. If improvement is not practicable, additional signs and markings and other provisions must be used to provide for proper speed transition.

Sight distance on horizontal curves and at intersections often can be improved by minor cut slope flattening or selective clearing or both.

7. Grades

Grades generally do not need to be flattened on 3-R projects. Steep grades and restricted horizontal or vertical curvature in combination, however, may warrant investigation.

8. Clear Zone

For safety, it is desirable to provide a roadside recovery area that is as wide as practical. But since 3-R projects are constrained by topographic features and right of way, considerable judgment must be used. The clear zone must be given particular attention at identified high roadside accident locations (fixed object accidents). An adequate clear zone at some horizontal curves, especially those at the end of a downgrade, should be provided if practicable. See the *AASHTO Roadside Design Guide* and Chapter 3 of ODOT's [Highway Design Manual](#).

F. GEOMETRIC DESIGN OF VERY LOW-VOLUME LOCAL ROADS (ADT < 400)

A very low-volume road is a road that is functionally classified as a local road and has a design ADT of 400 vehicles per day or less. Design standards for low volume local agency roadways, can be found in the *AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤ 400)* manual. AASHTO manuals can be purchased at the [AASHTO Bookstore](#) website.

The *AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤ 400)* manual is intended for application in the design of new construction or improvements to existing very low-volume roads. These guidelines apply in both urban and rural areas. The design guidelines enable designers to apply design criteria that are generally less restrictive than those used on higher volume roadways. The risk assessment upon which these guidelines are based shows that these less restrictive design criteria can be applied on very low-volume roads without compromising safety.

G. DEVIATIONS

Deviations from the standards require approval of a [Local Agency Design Exception Request](#) from ODOT. To obtain ODOT approval the local agency must submit a completed [Local Agency Design Exception Request Form](#) to ODOT's [Regional Local Agency Liaison](#) for processing.

Local agencies are authorized to design projects to the standards referenced in this chapter, following the warrants and qualifying statements given. In the event all minimum recommendations cannot be incorporated into the design, the local agency shall submit the deviation for review and approval.

A local agency shall document on the [Local Agency Design Exception Request Form](#) their reasons for deviation from standards. The deviation should include a description of the problem, its proposed solution, and any other information which may be helpful as a future reference. This shall be documented prior to the local agency's completion of PS&E documents. Approval of any design exception is a prerequisite for PS&E approval.

H. PROJECT MILESTONES

It is ODOT policy to record certain milestones for the purpose of internal auditing of project deadlines, costs, and schedule. Though it is not required at this time, it is advised that local agencies record specific milestone dates within the project lifecycle. These dates will help the local agency and ODOT better evaluate projects. The three new milestone dates include:

1. Design Acceptance

Design Acceptance is the critical milestone before right of way, permitting, and construction plans activities proceed. It is also the earliest point in project development that lends itself to consistent measurement across all projects. The purpose of identifying the completion of design acceptance is to record when a project is ready to move forward to preparation of the PS&E package.

Design Acceptance is only to be recorded as completion of a milestone. Design Acceptance will *not* be used as a performance measure.

Action Required: At the conclusion of the Design Acceptance milestone, Project Leaders, Consultant Project Managers and Local Agency Liaisons will record (in MS Project) the date that the Design Acceptance Package (DAP) was approved by the accountable manager. The Project Delivery Unit will send instructions for recording Design Acceptance completion in MS Project to Project Leaders, Consultant Project Managers and Local Agency Liaisons.

2. PS&E Acceptance Date

Until now, Project Delivery performance has been measured by the “13 month lock-in” of a projected bid-let date. The actual bid-let date was compared to the projected date to determine on-time performance.

On-time performance by regions will now be measured by the date the PS&E package is accepted by the Office of Pre-Letting. The “13 month lock-in” is based upon the projected date of PS&E submittal to the Office of Pre-Letting, with an additional 10 business days for review and acceptance by the Office of Pre-Letting. There are two reasons for this change:

- The bid-let date does not adequately measure Region performance for project development. Regions are expected to submit a bid-able project for contractors. Once a bid-able project is submitted, region project development expectations are fulfilled.
- The bid-let date does not account for the need of the agency to schedule and package bid advertisements effectively. SEOPL has a business need to adjust advertisement dates to encourage better competition and adjust to contingencies.

Bid-let dates will continue to be projected at the “13 month lock-in”. The bid-let date is needed to provide contractors with the Six-Month Schedule of Contract Lettings.

3. Notice to Proceed (NTP) Date

Contracting performance is ODOT’s ability to get bid-able projects into the hands of contractors and PM crews. NTP is the best objective measure of the successful conclusion of the contracting phase. Performance for the combined design and contracting phases will be measured by adding 57 calendar days to the projected bid-let date and comparing that date to the actual NTP date.