

From: "John Stuart" <tartan155@earthlink.net>
To: "Cece NEWELL" <Cece.Newell@state.or.us>
Date: Wed, Nov 9, 2005 1:56 PM
Subject: Re: Construction Claims Task Force Meeting

CeCe:

Thanks for the follow-up and understanding comments. I am, in fact, going to be watching the goings on very closely. To that end I'd note that I was happy to see that the testimony of the experts that occurred at the November 1 meeting was fairly informative and, in my opinion, potentially useful. That is, to the extent that the information is embraced as a platform to some necessary changes in the construction world. There's a lot of work to be done in that regard.

As to the need to address consumer issues, I am undoubtedly biased. I remain completely dumbfounded by the situation that exists and I certainly hope to see change with respect to application of coverages to protect the innocent.

Finally, one quick comment about the RFP. I spent 30 years in the health insurance industry as a broker and designer of very large health plans; my work was performed all over the country. Anytime I put together an RFP it was preceded by a significant amount of serious data collection, application of actuarial input, assimilation of pertinent facts, a great deal of comparative analysis and a plan for who/how any intermediary (i.e. agent/broker/carrier) might administer the plan. In short, cranking out a meaningful RFP is more than just a boilerplate exercise. Given that the CCTF met for the first time on September 21, 2005, I find it indeed amazing (if not completely unbelievable) that they could have achieved such a feat in a scant six weeks. A review of the RFP itself will likely lead to more observations.

Cordially,

John

[Original Message]

From: Cece NEWELL <Cece.Newell@state.or.us>
To: <tartan155@earthlink.net>
Date: 11/9/2005 11:40:08 AM
Subject: Re: Construction Claims Task Force Meeting

John,

Thank you for taking the time to attend the CCTF meeting and for your feedback. I have forwarded your thoughts and comments to the task force.

I understand that the circumstances of the last two plus years have been very stressful for you and your family. I appreciate your comments, and respectfully ask that you continue to watch the progress of this group.

There are two very clear sides to the CCTF work, the business portion of the meeting did lean to the RFP for the insurance piece, because it was necessary to complete that and begin the search for a successful bidder.

The technical insurance study is a subset of a global review that the task force is charged with.

We look forward to your continued interest in the work of this group.

Cece M. Newell, C.I.C.
Market Regulation Property Casualty Technician
Oregon Insurance Division
(503) 947-7203